

and international competitiveness of American goods and services.

(b) AVAILABILITY.—The agency shall make copies of each Intergovernmental and Economic Impact Statement available to members of the public and shall publish in the Federal Register at the time of publication of any final rule or at the time of implementing any other major Federal action affecting the economy, a statement describing how the public may obtain copies of such Statement.

SEC. 204. EFFECT ON OTHER LAWS.

The requirements of this title shall not alter in any manner the substantive standards otherwise applicable to the implementation by an agency of statutory requirements or to the exercise by an agency of authority delegated by law.

SEC. 205. EFFECTIVE DATE AND EXEMPTION.

This title shall apply to any rule proposed, any final rule promulgated, and any other major Federal action affecting the economy implemented by any agency after the date of the enactment of this Act. This title shall not apply to any agency which is not an agency within the meaning of section 551(l) of title 5, United States Code.

It was decided in the } Yeas 152
negative } Nays 278

¶17.9 [Roll No. 82]
AYES—152

- | | | |
|--------------|----------------|---------------|
| Abercrombie | Gonzalez | Pastor |
| Ackerman | Green | Payne (NJ) |
| Barrett (WI) | Gutierrez | Pelosi |
| Beilenson | Hastings (FL) | Peterson (FL) |
| Bentsen | Hefner | Pomeroy |
| Berman | Hilliard | Rahall |
| Bishop | Hinchev | Rangel |
| Bonior | Holden | Reed |
| Borski | Hoyer | Reynolds |
| Boucher | Jackson-Lee | Richardson |
| Brown (CA) | Jefferson | Rivers |
| Brown (FL) | Johnson, E. B. | Roybal-Allard |
| Brown (OH) | Johnston | Sabo |
| Bryant (TX) | Kanjorski | Sanders |
| Cardin | Kennedy (RI) | Sawyer |
| Clay | Kennelly | Schroeder |
| Clayton | Kildee | Scott |
| Clyburn | Klink | Serrano |
| Coleman | LaFalce | Skaggs |
| Collins (IL) | Lantos | Slaughter |
| Collins (MI) | Levin | Stark |
| Conyers | Lewis (GA) | Stokes |
| Costello | Lipinski | Studds |
| Coyne | Lofgren | Tanner |
| de la Garza | Lowey | Taylor (MS) |
| DeFazio | Luther | Tejada |
| DeLauro | Maloney | Thompson |
| Dellums | Manton | Thornton |
| Dicks | Markey | Thurman |
| Dingell | Mascara | Torres |
| Dixon | Matsui | Torricelli |
| Doggett | McDermott | Towns |
| Doyle | McKinney | Traficant |
| Durbin | Meehan | Tucker |
| Engel | Meek | Velazquez |
| Eshoo | Mfume | Vento |
| Evans | Miller (CA) | Visclosky |
| Farr | Mineta | Volkmer |
| Fattah | Mink | Ward |
| Fazio | Moakley | Waters |
| Fields (LA) | Mollohan | Watt (NC) |
| Filner | Moran | Waxman |
| Flake | Murtha | Williams |
| Foglietta | Nadler | Wise |
| Ford | Neal | Woolsey |
| Frank (MA) | Oberstar | Wyden |
| Frost | Obey | Wynn |
| Furse | Olver | Yates |
| Gejdenson | Ortiz | |
| Gephardt | Owens | |
| Gibbons | Pallone | |

NOES—278

- | | | |
|------------|--------------|-----------|
| Allard | Baldacci | Bateman |
| Andrews | Ballenger | Bereuter |
| Archer | Barcia | Bevill |
| Armey | Barr | Bilbray |
| Bachus | Barrett (NE) | Bilirakis |
| Baesler | Bartlett | Bliley |
| Baker (CA) | Barton | Blute |
| Baker (LA) | Bass | Boehlert |

- | | | |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Boehner | Gutknecht | Nussle |
| Bonilla | Hall (OH) | Orton |
| Bono | Hall (TX) | Oxley |
| Brewster | Hamilton | Packard |
| Browder | Hancock | Parker |
| Brownback | Hansen | Paxon |
| Bryant (TN) | Harman | Payne (VA) |
| Bunn | Hastert | Peterson (MN) |
| Bunning | Hastings (WA) | Petri |
| Burr | Hayes | Pickett |
| Burton | Hayworth | Pombo |
| Buyer | Hefley | Porter |
| Callahan | Heineman | Portman |
| Calvert | Herger | Poshard |
| Camp | Hilleary | Pryce |
| Canady | Hobson | Quillen |
| Castle | Hoekstra | Quinn |
| Chabot | Hoke | Radanovich |
| Chambliss | Horn | Ramstad |
| Chapman | Hostettler | Regula |
| Chenoweth | Houghton | Riggs |
| Christensen | Hutchinson | Roberts |
| Chrysler | Hyde | Roemer |
| Clement | Inglis | Rogers |
| Clinger | Istook | Rohrabacher |
| Coble | Jacobs | Ros-Lehtinen |
| Coburn | Johnson (CT) | Rose |
| Collins (GA) | Johnson (SD) | Roth |
| Combest | Johnson, Sam | Roukema |
| Condit | Jones | Royce |
| Cooley | Kasich | Salmon |
| Cox | Kelly | Sanford |
| Cramer | Kennedy (MA) | Saxton |
| Crane | Kim | Schaefer |
| Crapo | King | Schiff |
| Creameans | Kingston | Schumer |
| Cubin | Klecza | Seastrand |
| Cunningham | Klug | Sensenbrenner |
| Danner | Knollenberg | Shadegg |
| Davis | Kolbe | Shaw |
| Deal | LaHood | Shays |
| DeLay | Largent | Shuster |
| Deutsch | Latham | Sisisky |
| Diaz-Balart | LaTourrette | Skeen |
| Dickey | Laughlin | Skelton |
| Dooley | Lazio | Smith (MI) |
| Doolittle | Leach | Smith (NJ) |
| Dornan | Lewis (CA) | Smith (TX) |
| Dreier | Lewis (KY) | Smith (WA) |
| Duncan | Lightfoot | Solomon |
| Dunn | Lincoln | Souder |
| Edwards | Linder | Spence |
| Ehlers | Livingston | Spratt |
| Ehrlich | LoBiondo | Stearns |
| Emerson | Longley | Stenholm |
| English | Lucas | Stockman |
| Ensign | Manzullo | Stump |
| Everett | Martinez | Talent |
| Ewing | Martini | Tate |
| Fawell | McCarthy | Tauzin |
| Fields (TX) | McCollum | Taylor (NC) |
| Flanagan | McCrery | Thomas |
| Foley | McDade | Thornberry |
| Forbes | McHale | Tiahrt |
| Fowler | McHugh | Torkildsen |
| Fox | McInnis | Upton |
| Franks (CT) | McIntosh | Vucanovich |
| Franks (NJ) | McKeon | Waldboltz |
| Frelinghuysen | McNulty | Walker |
| Frisa | Menendez | Walsh |
| Funderburk | Metcalfe | Wamp |
| Galleghy | Meyers | Watts (OK) |
| Ganske | Mica | Weldon (FL) |
| Gekas | Miller (FL) | Weldon (PA) |
| Gerens | Minge | Weller |
| Gilchrest | Molinar | White |
| Gillmor | Montgomery | Whitfield |
| Gilman | Moorhead | Wicker |
| Goodlatte | Morella | Wilson |
| Goodling | Myers | Wolf |
| Gordon | Myrick | Young (AK) |
| Goss | Nethercutt | Young (FL) |
| Graham | Neumann | Zeliff |
| Greenwood | Ney | Zimmer |
| Gunderson | Norwood | |

NOT VOTING—4

Becerra
Hunter

Kaptur
Scarborough

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to.

After some further time, The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. UPTON, assumed the Chair.

When Mr. EMERSON, Chairman, pursuant to House Resolution 38, reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee.

The previous question having been ordered by said resolution.

The following amendment, reported from the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, was agreed to:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Unfunded Mandate Reform Act of 1995".

SEC. 2. PURPOSES.

The purposes of this Act are—

(1) to strengthen the partnership between the Federal Government and States, local governments, and tribal governments;

(2) to end the imposition, in the absence of full consideration by Congress, of Federal mandates on States, local governments, and tribal governments in a manner that may displace other essential State, local, and tribal governmental priorities;

(3) to assist Congress in its consideration of proposed legislation establishing or revising Federal programs containing Federal mandates affecting States, local governments, tribal governments, and the private sector by—

(A) providing for the development of information about the nature and size of mandates in proposed legislation; and

(B) establishing a mechanism to bring such information to the attention of the Senate and House of Representatives before the Senate and House of Representatives votes on proposed legislation;

(4) to promote informed and deliberate decisions by Congress on the appropriateness of Federal mandates in any particular instance;

(5) to establish a point-of-order vote on the consideration in the Senate and House of Representatives of legislation containing significant Federal mandates;

(6) to assist Federal agencies in their consideration of proposed regulations affecting States, local governments, and tribal governments, by—

(A) requiring that Federal agencies develop a process to enable the elected and other officials of States, local governments, and tribal governments to provide input when Federal agencies are developing regulations; and

(B) requiring that Federal agencies prepare and consider better estimates of the budgetary impact of regulations containing Federal mandates upon States, local governments, and tribal governments before adopting such regulations, and ensuring that small governments are given special consideration in that process;

(7) to establish the general rule that Congress shall not impose Federal mandates on States, local governments, and tribal governments without providing adequate funding to comply with such mandates; and

(8) to begin consideration of methods to relieve States, local governments, and tribal governments of unfunded mandates imposed by Federal court interpretations of Federal statutes and regulations.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this Act—

(1) the terms "agency", "Federal financial assistance", "Federal private sector mandate", "Federal mandate" (except as provided by section 108), "local government", "private sector", "regulation" or "rule", and "State" have the meaning given those terms by section 421 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974; and

(2) the term "small government" means any small governmental jurisdiction as defined in section 601(5) of title 5, United States Code, and any tribal government.

SEC. 4. LIMITATION ON APPLICATION.

This Act shall not apply to any provision in a Federal statute or a proposed or final Federal regulation, that—

- (1) enforces constitutional rights of individuals;
- (2) establishes or enforces any statutory rights that prohibit discrimination on the basis of age, race, religion, gender, national origin, or handicapped or disability status;
- (3) requires compliance with accounting and auditing procedures with respect to grants or other money or property provided by the Federal Government;
- (4) provides for emergency assistance or relief at the request of any State, local government, or tribal government or any official of such a government;
- (5) is necessary for the national security or the ratification or implementation of international treaty obligations;
- (6) the President designates as emergency legislation and that the Congress so designates in statute; or
- (7) pertains to Social Security.

**TITLE I—REVIEW OF UNFUNDED
FEDERAL MANDATES**

SEC. 101. REPORT ON UNFUNDED FEDERAL MANDATES BY ADVISORY COMMISSION ON INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Advisory Commission shall in accordance with this section—

- (1) investigate and review the role of unfunded Federal mandates in intergovernmental relations and their impact on State, local, tribal, and Federal Government objectives and responsibilities, and their impact on the competitive balance between States, local and tribal governments, and the private sector and consider views of and the impact on working men and women on those same matters;
- (2) investigate and review the role of unfunded State mandates imposed on local governments, the private sector, and individuals;
- (3) investigate and review the role of unfunded local mandates imposed on the private sector and individuals; and
- (4) make recommendations to the President and the Congress regarding—
 - (A) allowing flexibility for State, local, and tribal governments in complying with specific unfunded Federal mandates for which terms of compliance are unnecessarily rigid or complex;
 - (B) reconciling any 2 or more unfunded Federal mandates which impose contradictory or inconsistent requirements;
 - (C) terminating unfunded Federal mandates which are duplicative, obsolete, or lacking in practical utility;
 - (D) suspending, on a temporary basis, unfunded Federal mandates which are not vital to public health and safety and which compound the fiscal difficulties of State, local, and tribal governments, including recommendations for triggering such suspension;
 - (E) consolidating or simplifying unfunded Federal mandates, or the planning or reporting requirements of such mandates, in order to reduce duplication and facilitate compliance by State, local, and tribal governments with those mandates;
 - (F) establishing common Federal definitions or standards to be used by State, local, and tribal governments in complying with unfunded Federal mandates that use different definitions or standards for the same terms or principles; and
 - (G) establishing procedures to ensure that, in cases in which a Federal private sector mandate applies to private sector entities which are competing directly or indirectly with States, local governments, or tribal governments for the purpose of providing

substantially similar goods or services to the public, any relief from unfunded Federal mandates is applied in the same manner and to the same extent to the private sector entities as it is to the States, local governments, and tribal governments with which they compete, and to ensure that unfunded Federal mandate relief does not increase private sector burdens.

Each recommendation under paragraph (4) shall, to the extent practicable, identify the specific unfunded Federal mandates to which the recommendation applies.

(b) CRITERIA.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Advisory Commission shall establish criteria for making recommendations under subsection (a).

(2) ISSUANCE OF PROPOSED CRITERIA.—The Advisory Commission shall issue proposed criteria under this subsection not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and thereafter provide a period of 30 days for submission by the public of comments on the proposed criteria.

(3) FINAL CRITERIA.—Not later than 45 days after the date of issuance of proposed criteria, the Advisory Commission shall—

- (A) consider comments on the proposed criteria received under paragraph (4);
- (B) adopt and incorporate in final criteria any recommendations submitted in those comments that the Advisory Commission determines will aid the Advisory Commission in carrying out its duties under this section; and
- (C) issue final criteria under this subsection.

(c) PRELIMINARY REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 9 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Advisory Commission shall—

- (A) prepare and publish a preliminary report on its activities under this title, including preliminary recommendations pursuant to subsection (a);
- (B) publish in the Federal Register a notice of availability of the preliminary report; and
- (C) provide copies of the preliminary report to the public upon request.

(2) PUBLIC HEARINGS.—The Advisory Commission shall hold public hearings on the preliminary report of the Advisory Commission under this subsection.

(d) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than 3 months after the date of the publication of the preliminary report under subsection (c), the Advisory Commission shall submit to the Congress, including the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate, and to the President a final report on the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Advisory Commission under this section.

(e) PRIORITY TO MANDATES THAT ARE SUBJECT OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.—In carrying out this section, the Advisory Commission shall give the highest priority to immediately investigating, reviewing, and making recommendations regarding unfunded Federal mandates that are the subject of judicial proceedings between the United States and a State, local, or tribal government.

(f) STATE MANDATE AND LOCAL MANDATE DEFINED.—As used in this title:

(1) STATE MANDATE.—The term “State mandate” means any provision in a State statute or regulation that imposes an enforceable duty on local governments, the private sector, or individuals, including a condition of State assistance or a duty arising from participation in a voluntary State program.

(2) LOCAL MANDATE.—The term “local mandate” means any provision in a local ordinance or regulation that imposes an enforceable duty on the private sector or individuals, including a condition of local assist-

ance or a duty arising from participation in a voluntary local program.

SEC. 102. SPECIAL AUTHORITIES OF ADVISORY COMMISSION.

(a) EXPERTS AND CONSULTANTS.—The Advisory Commission may procure temporary and intermittent services of experts or consultants under section 3109(b) of title 5, United States Code.

(b) STAFF OF FEDERAL AGENCIES.—Upon request of the Executive Director of the Advisory Commission, the head of any Federal department or agency may detail, on a reimbursable basis, any of the personnel of that department or agency to the Advisory Commission to assist it in carrying out its duties under this title.

(c) ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT SERVICES.—Upon the request of the Advisory Commission, the Administrator of General Services shall provide to the Advisory Commission, on a reimbursable basis, the administrative support services necessary for the Advisory Commission to carry out its duties under this title.

(d) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—The Advisory Commission may, subject to appropriations, contract with and compensate Government and private agencies or persons for property and services used to carry out its duties under this title.

SEC. 103. DEFINITIONS.

In this title:

(1) ADVISORY COMMISSION.—The term “Advisory Commission” means the Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations.

(2) FEDERAL MANDATE.—The term “Federal mandate” means any provision in statute or regulation or any Federal court ruling that imposes an enforceable duty upon States, local governments, or tribal governments including a condition of Federal assistance or a duty arising from participation in a voluntary Federal program.

**TITLE II—REGULATORY ACCOUNTABILITY
AND REFORM**

SEC. 201. REGULATORY PROCESS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Each agency shall, to the extent permitted by subchapter II of chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code—

(1) assess the effects of Federal regulations on States, local governments, tribal governments, and the private sector (other than to the extent that such regulations incorporate requirements specifically set forth in legislation), including specifically the availability of resources to carry out any Federal mandates in those regulations; and

(2) seek to minimize those burdens that uniquely or significantly affect such governmental entities or the private sector, consistent with achieving statutory and regulatory objectives.

(b) STATE, LOCAL GOVERNMENT, AND TRIBAL GOVERNMENT INPUT.—Each agency shall develop an effective process to permit elected officials (or their designated representatives) of States, local governments, and tribal governments to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant Federal intergovernmental mandates.

(c) AGENCY PLAN.—Before establishing any regulatory requirements that might significantly or uniquely affect small governments, an agency shall have developed a plan under which the agency shall—

(1) provide notice of the contemplated requirements to potentially affected small governments, if any;

(2) enable officials of affected small governments to provide input pursuant to subsection (b); and

(3) inform, educate, and advise small governments on compliance with the requirements.

(d) LEAST BURDENSOME OPTION OR EXPLANATION REQUIRED.—An agency may not issue

a rule that contains a Federal mandate if the rulemaking record for the rule indicates that there are 2 or more methods that could be used to accomplish the objective of the rule, unless—

(1) the Federal mandate is the least costly method, or has the least burdensome effect, for—

(A) States, local governments, and tribal governments, in the case of a rule containing a Federal intergovernmental mandate, and

(B) the private sector, in the case of a rule containing a Federal private sector mandate; or

(2) the agency publishes with the final rule an explanation of why the more costly or burdensome method of the Federal mandate was adopted.

SEC. 202. STATEMENTS TO ACCOMPANY SIGNIFICANT REGULATORY ACTIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Before promulgating any final rule that includes any Federal mandate that may result in the expenditure by States, local governments, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or the private sector of at least \$100,000,000 (adjusted annually for inflation) in any 1 year, and before promulgating any general notice of proposed rulemaking that is likely to result in promulgation of any such rule, the agency shall prepare a written statement identifying the provision of Federal law under which the rule is being promulgated and containing—

(1) estimates by the agency, including the underlying analysis, of the anticipated costs to States, local governments, tribal governments, and the private sector of complying with the Federal mandates, and of the extent to which such costs may be paid with funds provided by the Federal Government or otherwise paid through Federal financial assistance;

(2) estimates by the agency, if and to the extent that the agency determines that accurate estimates are reasonably feasible; of—

(A) the future costs of the Federal mandate; and

(B) any disproportionate budgetary effects of the Federal mandates upon any particular regions of the country or particular States, local governments, tribal governments, urban or rural or other types of communities, or particular segments of the private sector;

(3) a qualitative, and if possible, a quantitative assessment of costs and benefits anticipated from the Federal mandates (such as the enhancement of health and safety and the protection of the natural environment);

(4) the effect of Federal private sector mandates on the national economy, including the effect on productivity, economic growth, full employment, creation of productive jobs, worker benefits and pensions, and international competitiveness of United States goods and services;

(5) a description of the extent of the agency's prior consultation with elected representatives (or their designated representatives) of the affected States, local governments, and tribal governments, and designated representatives of the private sector;

(6) a summary of the comments and concerns that were presented by States, local governments, or tribal governments and the private sector either orally or in writing to the agency;

(7) a summary of the agency's evaluation of those comments and concerns; and

(8) the agency's position supporting the need to issue the regulation containing the Federal mandates (considering, among other things, the extent to which costs may or may not be paid with funds provided by the Federal Government).

(b) PROMULGATION.—In promulgating a general notice of proposed rulemaking or a

final rule for which a statement under subsection (a) is required, the agency shall include in the promulgation a summary of the information contained in the statement.

(c) PREPARATION IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER STATEMENT.—Any agency may prepare any statement required by subsection (a) in conjunction with or as part of any other statement or analysis, if the statement or analysis satisfies the provisions of subsection (a).

SEC. 203. ASSISTANCE TO THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE.

The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall—

(1) collect from agencies the statements prepared under section 202; and

(2) periodically forward copies of them to the Director of the Congressional Budget Office on a reasonably timely basis after promulgation of the general notice of proposed rulemaking or of the final rule for which the statement was prepared.

SEC. 204. PILOT PROGRAM ON SMALL GOVERNMENT FLEXIBILITY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the Office of Management and Budget, in consultation with Federal agencies, shall establish pilot programs in at least 2 agencies to test innovative and more flexible regulatory approaches that—

(1) reduce reporting and compliance burdens on small governments; and

(2) meet overall statutory goals and objectives.

(b) PROGRAM FOCUS.—The pilot programs shall focus on rules in effect or proposed rules or on a combination thereof.

SEC. 205. ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS REGARDING FEDERAL COURT RULINGS.

Not later than 4 months after the date of enactment of this Act, and no later than March 15 of each year thereafter, the Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations shall submit to the Congress, including each of the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate, and to the President a report describing Federal court rulings in the preceding calendar year which imposed an enforceable duty on 1 or more States, local governments, or tribal governments.

SEC. 206. JUDICIAL REVIEW.

(a) REVIEW OF AGENCY ACTIONS SUBJECT TO REVIEW UNDER OTHER FEDERAL LAW.—If an agency action that is subject to section 201 or 202 is subject to judicial review under any other Federal law (other than chapter 7 of title 5, United States Code)—

(1) any court of the United States having jurisdiction to review the action under the other law shall have jurisdiction to review the action under sections 201 and 202; and

(2) in any proceeding under paragraph (1), any issue relating exhaustion of remedies, the time and manner for seeking review, venue, or the availability of a stay or preliminary injunctive relief pending review shall be determined under the other law.

(b) LIMITATION ON PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF.—The second sentence of section 705 of title 5, United States Code (relating to preliminary relief pending review), shall not apply with respect to review under subsection (a)(1) of an agency action, unless process authorized by that sentence is not authorized by the other law under which the action is reviewed.

SEC. 207. ANNUAL STATEMENTS TO CONGRESS ON AGENCY COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS OF TITLE.

Not later than one year after the effective date of title III and annually thereafter, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall submit to Congress, including

the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate, written statements detailing the compliance with the requirements of sections 201 and 202 by each agency during the period reported on.

TITLE III—LEGISLATIVE ACCOUNTABILITY AND REFORM

SEC. 301. LEGISLATIVE MANDATE ACCOUNTABILITY AND REFORM.

Title IV of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 is amended by—

(1) inserting before section 401 the following:

“PART A—GENERAL PROVISIONS”; and

(2) adding at the end the following new part:

“PART B—FEDERAL MANDATES

“SEC. 421. DEFINITIONS.

“For purposes of this part:

“(1) AGENCY.—The term ‘agency’ has the meaning stated in section 551(l) of title 5, United States Code, but does not include independent regulatory agencies, as defined by section 3502(10) of title 44, United States Code.

“(2) DIRECTOR.—The term ‘Director’ means the Director of the Congressional Budget Office.

“(3) FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE.—The term ‘Federal financial assistance’ means the amount of budget authority for any Federal grant assistance or any Federal program providing loan guarantees or direct loans.

“(4) FEDERAL INTERGOVERNMENTAL MANDATE.—The term ‘Federal intergovernmental mandate’ means—

“(A) any provision in legislation, statute, or regulation that—

“(i) would impose an enforceable duty upon States, local governments, or tribal governments, except—

“(I) a condition of Federal assistance; or

“(II) a duty arising from participation in a voluntary Federal program, except as provided in subparagraph (B); or

“(ii) would reduce or eliminate the amount of authorization of appropriations for Federal financial assistance that would be provided to States, local governments, or tribal governments for the purpose of complying with any such previously imposed duty unless such duty is reduced or eliminated by a corresponding amount; or

“(B) any provision in legislation, statute, or regulation that relates to a then-existing Federal program under which \$500,000,000 or more is provided annually to States, local governments, and tribal governments under entitlement authority, if—

“(i)(I) the provision would increase the stringency of conditions of assistance to States, local governments, or tribal governments under the program; or

“(II) would place caps upon, or otherwise decrease, the Federal Government's responsibility to provide funding to States, local governments, or tribal governments under the program; and

“(ii) the States, local governments, or tribal governments that participate in the Federal program lack authority under that program to amend their financial or programmatic responsibilities to continue providing required services that are affected by the legislation, statute, or regulation.

“(5) FEDERAL PRIVATE SECTOR MANDATE.—The term ‘Federal private sector mandate’ means any provision in legislation, statute, or regulation that—

“(A) would impose an enforceable duty on the private sector except—

“(i) a condition of Federal assistance; or

“(ii) a duty arising from participation in a voluntary Federal program; or

“(B) would reduce or eliminate the amount of authorization of appropriations for Fed-

eral financial assistance that will be provided to the private sector for the purpose of ensuring compliance with such duty.

“(6) FEDERAL MANDATE.—The term ‘Federal mandate’ means a Federal intergovernmental mandate or a Federal private sector mandate, as defined in paragraphs (4) and (5).

“(7) FEDERAL MANDATE DIRECT COSTS.—

“(A) FEDERAL INTERGOVERNMENTAL DIRECT COSTS.—In the case of a Federal intergovernmental mandate, the term ‘direct costs’ means the aggregate estimated amounts that all States, local governments, and tribal governments would be required to spend or would be required to forgo in revenues in order to comply with the Federal intergovernmental mandate, or, in the case of a provision referred to in paragraph (4)(A)(ii), the amount of Federal financial assistance eliminated or reduced.

“(B) PRIVATE SECTOR DIRECT COSTS.—In the case of a Federal private sector mandate, the term ‘direct costs’ means the aggregate estimated amounts that the private sector would be required to spend in order to comply with a Federal private sector mandate.

“(C) EXCLUSION FROM DIRECT COSTS.—The term ‘direct costs’ does not include—

“(i) estimated amounts that the States, local governments, and tribal governments (in the case of a Federal intergovernmental mandate), or the private sector (in the case of a Federal private sector mandate), would spend—

“(I) to comply with or carry out all applicable Federal, State, local, and tribal laws and regulations in effect at the time of the adoption of a Federal mandate for the same activity as is affected by that Federal mandate; or

“(II) to comply with or carry out State, local governmental, and tribal governmental programs, or private-sector business or other activities in effect at the time of the adoption of a Federal mandate for the same activity as is affected by that mandate; or

“(ii) expenditures to the extent that they will be offset by any direct savings to be enjoyed by the States, local governments, and tribal governments, or by the private sector, as a result of—

“(I) their compliance with the Federal mandate; or

“(II) other changes in Federal law or regulation that are enacted or adopted in the same bill or joint resolution or proposed or final Federal regulation and that govern the same activity as is affected by the Federal mandate.

“(D) DETERMINATION OF COSTS.—Direct costs shall be determined based on the assumption that States, local governments, tribal governments, and the private sector will take all reasonable steps necessary to mitigate the costs resulting from the Federal mandate, and will comply with applicable standards of practice and conduct established by recognized professional or trade associations. Reasonable steps to mitigate the costs shall not include increases in State, local, or tribal taxes or fees.

“(8) LOCAL GOVERNMENT.—The term ‘local government’ has the same meaning as in section 6501(6) of title 31, United States Code.

“(9) PRIVATE SECTOR.—The term ‘private sector’ means individuals, partnerships, associations, corporations, business trusts, or legal representatives, organized groups of individuals, and educational and other non-profit institutions.

“(10) REGULATION.—The term ‘regulation’ or ‘rule’ has the meaning of ‘rule’ as defined in section 601(2) of title 5, United States Code.

“(11) STATE.—The term ‘State’ has the same meaning as in section 6501(9) of title 31, United States Code.

“(12) SIGNIFICANT EMPLOYMENT IMPACT.—The term ‘significant employment impact’

means an estimated net aggregate loss of 10,000 or more jobs.

“SEC. 422. LIMITATION ON APPLICATION.

“This part shall not apply to any provision in a bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, or conference report before Congress that—

“(1) enforces constitutional rights of individuals;

“(2) establishes or enforces any statutory rights that prohibit discrimination on the basis of age, race, religion, gender, national origin, or handicapped or disability status;

“(3) requires compliance with accounting and auditing procedures with respect to grants or other money or property provided by the Federal Government;

“(4) provides for emergency assistance or relief at the request of any State, local government, or tribal government or any official of such a government;

“(5) is necessary for the national security or the ratification or implementation of international treaty obligations;

“(6) the President designates as emergency legislation and that the Congress so designates in statute; or

“(7) pertains to Social Security.

“SEC. 423. DUTIES OF CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.

“(a) SUBMISSION OF BILLS TO THE DIRECTOR.—When a committee of authorization of the House of Representatives or the Senate orders a bill or joint resolution of a public character reported, the committee shall promptly provide the text of the bill or joint resolution to the Director and shall identify to the Director any Federal mandate contained in the bill or resolution.

“(b) COMMITTEE REPORT.—

“(1) INFORMATION REGARDING FEDERAL MANDATES.—When a committee of authorization of the House of Representatives or the Senate reports a bill or joint resolution of a public character that includes any Federal mandate, the report of the committee accompanying the bill or joint resolution shall contain the information required by paragraph (2) and, in the case of a Federal intergovernmental mandate, paragraph (3).

“(2) REPORTS ON FEDERAL MANDATES.—Each report referred to in paragraph (1) shall contain—

“(A) an identification and description of each Federal mandate in the bill or joint resolution, including the statement, if available, from the Director pursuant to section 424(a);

“(B) a qualitative assessment, and if practicable, a quantitative assessment of costs and benefits anticipated from the Federal mandate (including the effects on health and safety and protection of the natural environment); and

“(C) a statement of—

“(i) the degree to which the Federal mandate affects each of the public and private sectors, including a description of the actions, if any, taken by the committee to avoid any adverse impact on the private sector or on the competitive balance between the public sector and the private sector; and

“(ii) in the case of a Federal mandate that is a Federal intergovernmental mandate, the extent to which limiting or eliminating the Federal intergovernmental mandate or Federal payment of direct costs of the Federal intergovernmental mandate (if applicable) would affect the competitive balance between States, local governments, or tribal governments and the private sector.

“(3) INTERGOVERNMENTAL MANDATES.—If any of the Federal mandates in the bill or joint resolution are Federal intergovernmental mandates, the report referred to in paragraph (1) shall also contain—

“(A)(i) a statement of the amount, if any, of increase or decrease in authorization of

appropriations under existing Federal financial assistance programs or for new Federal financial assistance, provided by the bill or joint resolution and usable for activities of States, local governments, or tribal governments subject to Federal intergovernmental mandates; and

“(ii) a statement of whether the committee intends that the Federal intergovernmental mandates be partly or entirely unfunded, and, if so, the reasons for that intention; and

“(B) a statement of any existing sources of Federal financial assistance in addition to those identified in subparagraph (A) that may assist States, local governments, and tribal governments in paying the direct costs of the Federal intergovernmental mandates.

“(4) INFORMATION REGARDING PREEMPTION.—When a committee of authorization of the House of Representatives or the Senate reports a bill or joint resolution of a public character, the committee report accompanying the bill or joint resolution shall contain, if relevant to the bill or joint resolution, an explicit statement on whether the bill or joint resolution, in whole or in part, is intended to preempt any State, local, or tribal law, and if so, an explanation of the reasons for such intention.

“(c) PUBLICATION OF STATEMENT FROM THE DIRECTOR.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Upon receiving a statement (including any supplemental statement) from the Director pursuant to section 424(a), a committee of the House of Representatives or the Senate shall publish the statement in the committee report accompanying the bill or joint resolution to which the statement relates if the statement is available to be included in the printed report.

“(2) OTHER PUBLICATION OF STATEMENT OF DIRECTOR.—If the statement is not published in the report, or if the bill or joint resolution to which the statement relates is expected to be considered by the House of Representatives or the Senate before the report is published, the committee shall cause the statement, or a summary thereof, to be published in the Congressional Record in advance of floor consideration of the bill or joint resolution.

“SEC. 424. DUTIES OF THE DIRECTOR.

“(a) STATEMENTS ON BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS OTHER THAN APPROPRIATIONS BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS.—

“(1) FEDERAL INTERGOVERNMENTAL MANDATES IN REPORTED BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS.—For each bill or joint resolution of a public character reported by any committee of authorization of the House of Representatives or the Senate, the Director shall prepare and submit to the committee a statement as follows:

“(A) If the Director estimates that the direct cost of all Federal intergovernmental mandates in the bill or joint resolution will equal or exceed \$50,000,000 (adjusted annually for inflation) in the fiscal year in which such a Federal intergovernmental mandate (or in any necessary implementing regulation) would first be effective or in any of the 4 fiscal years following such year, the Director shall so state, specify the estimate, and briefly explain the basis of the estimate.

“(B) The estimate required by subparagraph (A) shall include estimates (and brief explanations of the basis of the estimates) of—

“(i) the total amount of direct cost of complying with the Federal intergovernmental mandates in the bill or joint resolution; and

“(ii) the amount, if any, of increase in authorization of appropriations or budget authority or entitlement authority under existing Federal financial assistance programs, or of authorization of appropriations for new Federal financial assistance, provided by the

bill or joint resolution and usable by States, local governments, or tribal governments for activities subject to the Federal intergovernmental mandates.

“(2) FEDERAL PRIVATE SECTOR MANDATES IN REPORTED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS.—For each bill or joint resolution of a public character reported by any committee of authorization of the House of Representatives or the Senate, the Director shall prepare and submit to the committee a statement as follows:

“(A) If the Director estimates that the direct cost of all Federal private sector mandates in the bill or joint resolution will equal or exceed \$50,000,000 (adjusted annually for inflation) in the fiscal year in which any Federal private sector mandate in the bill or joint resolution (or in any necessary implementing regulation) would first be effective or in any of the 4 fiscal years following such fiscal year, the Director shall so state, specify the estimate, and briefly explain the basis of the estimate.

“(B) The estimate required by subparagraph (A) shall include estimates (and brief explanations of the basis of the estimates) of—

“(i) the total amount of direct costs of complying with the Federal private sector mandates in the bill or joint resolution; and

“(ii) the amount, if any, of increase in authorization of appropriations under existing Federal financial assistance programs, or of authorization of appropriations for new Federal financial assistance, provided by the bill or joint resolution usable by the private sector for the activities subject to the Federal private sector mandates.

“(C) If the Director determines that it is not feasible to make a reasonable estimate that would be required under subparagraphs (A) and (B), the Director shall not make the estimate, but shall report in the statement that the reasonable estimate cannot be made and shall include the reasons for that determination in the statement.

“(3) LEGISLATION FALLING BELOW THE DIRECT COSTS THRESHOLDS.—If the Director estimates that the direct costs of a Federal mandate will not equal or exceed the threshold specified in paragraph (1)(A) or (2)(A), the Director shall so state and shall briefly explain the basis of the estimate.

“(4) AMENDED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS; CONFERENCE REPORTS.—If the Director has prepared the statement pursuant to subsection (a) for a bill or joint resolution, and if that bill or joint resolution is reported or passed in an amended form (including if passed by one House as an amendment in the nature of a substitute for the text of a bill or joint resolution from the other House) or is reported by a committee of conference in an amended form, the committee of conference shall ensure, to the greatest extent practicable, that the Director shall prepare a supplemental statement for the bill or joint resolution in that amended form.

“(b) ASSISTANCE TO COMMITTEES AND STUDIES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—At the request of any committee of the House of Representatives or of the Senate, the Director shall, to the extent practicable, consult with and assist such committee in analyzing the budgetary or financial impact of any proposed legislation that may have—

“(A) a significant budgetary impact on State, local, or tribal governments;

“(B) a significant financial impact on the private sector; or

“(C) significant employment impact on the private sector.

“(2) CONTINUING STUDIES.—The Director shall conduct continuing studies to enhance comparisons of budget outlays, credit authority, and tax expenditures.

“(3) FEDERAL MANDATE STUDIES.—

“(A) At the request of any committee of the House of Representatives or the Senate, the Director shall, to the extent practicable, conduct a study of a legislative proposal containing a Federal mandate.

“(B) In conducting a study under subparagraph (A), the Director shall—

“(i) solicit and consider information or comments from elected officials (including their designated representatives) of States, local governments, tribal governments, designated representatives of the private sector, and such other persons as may provide helpful information or comments;

“(ii) consider establishing advisory panels of elected officials (including their designated representatives) of States, local governments, tribal governments, designated representatives of the private sector, and other persons if the Director determines, in the Director's discretion, that such advisory panels would be helpful in performing the Director's responsibilities under this section; and

“(iii) include estimates, if and to the extent that the Director determines that accurate estimates are reasonably feasible, of—

“(I) the future direct cost of the Federal mandates concerned to the extent that they significantly differ from or extend beyond the 5-year period after the mandate is first effective; and

“(II) any disproportionate budgetary effects of the Federal mandates concerned upon particular industries or sectors of the economy, States, regions, and urban, or rural or other types of communities, as appropriate.

“(C) In conducting a study on private sector mandates under subparagraph (A), the Director shall provide estimates, if and to the extent that the Director determines that such estimates are reasonably feasible, of—

“(i) future costs of Federal private sector mandates to the extent that such mandates differ significantly from or extend beyond the 5-year period referred to in subparagraph (B)(iii)(I);

“(ii) any disproportionate financial effects of Federal private sector mandates and of any Federal financial assistance in the bill or joint resolution upon any particular industries or sectors of the economy, States, regions, and urban or rural or other types of communities; and

“(iii) the effect of Federal private sector mandates in the bill or joint resolution on the national economy, including the effect on productivity, economic growth, full employment, creation of productive jobs, and international competitiveness of United States goods and services.

“(c) VIEWS OF COMMITTEES.—Any committee of the House of Representatives or the Senate which anticipates that the committee will consider any proposed legislation establishing, amending, or reauthorizing any Federal program likely to have a significant budgetary impact on the States, local governments, or tribal governments, or likely to have a significant financial impact on the private sector, including any legislative proposal submitted by the executive branch likely to have such a budgetary or financial impact, shall provide its views and estimates on such proposal to the Committee on the Budget of its House.

“(d) ESTIMATES.—If the Director determines that it is not feasible to make a reasonable estimate that would be required for a statement under subsection (a)(1) for a bill or joint resolution, the Director shall not make such a statement and shall inform the committees involved that such an estimate cannot be made and the reasons for that determination. The bill or joint resolution for which such statement was to be made shall be subject to a point of order under section 425(a)(1).

“(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Congressional Budget Office to carry out this part \$4,500,000 for each of fiscal years 1996 through 2002.

“SEC. 425. POINT OF ORDER.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—It shall not be in order in the House of Representatives or the Senate to consider—

“(1) any bill or joint resolution that is reported by a committee unless the committee has published the statement of the Director pursuant to section 424(a) prior to such consideration, except that this paragraph shall not apply to any supplemental statement prepared by the Director under section 424(a)(4); or

“(2) any bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion, or conference report that contains a Federal intergovernmental mandate having direct costs that exceed the threshold specified in section 424(a)(1)(A), or that would cause the direct costs of any other Federal intergovernmental mandate to exceed the threshold specified in section 424(a)(1)(A), unless—

“(A) the bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion, or conference report provides new budget authority or new entitlement authority in the House of Representatives or direct spending authority in the Senate for each fiscal year for the Federal intergovernmental mandates included in the bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion, or conference report in an amount that equals or exceeds the estimated direct costs of such mandate; or

“(B) the bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion, or conference report provides an increase in receipts or a decrease in new budget authority or new entitlement authority in the House of Representatives or direct spending authority in the Senate and an increase in new budget authority or new entitlement authority in the House of Representatives or an increase in direct spending authority for each fiscal year for the Federal intergovernmental mandates included in the bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion, or conference report in an amount that equals or exceeds the estimated direct costs of such mandate; or

“(C) the bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion, or conference report—

“(i) provides that—

“(I) such mandate shall be effective for any fiscal year only if all direct costs of such mandate in the fiscal year are provided in appropriations Acts, and

“(II) in the case of such a mandate contained in the bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion, or conference report, the mandate is repealed effective on the first day of any fiscal year for which all direct costs of such mandate are not provided in appropriations Acts; or

“(ii) requires a Federal agency to reduce programmatic and financial responsibilities of State, local, and tribal governments for meeting the objectives of the mandate such that the estimated direct costs of the mandate to such governments do not exceed the amount of Federal funding provided to those governments to carry out the mandate in the form of appropriations or new budget authority or new entitlement authority in the House of Representatives or direct spending authority in the Senate, and establishes criteria and procedures for that reduction.

“(b) LIMITATION ON APPLICATION TO APPROPRIATIONS BILLS.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to a bill that is reported by the Committee on Appropriations or an amendment thereto.

“(c) DETERMINATION OF DIRECT COSTS BASED ON ESTIMATES BY BUDGET COMMITTEES.—For the purposes of this section, the amount of direct costs of a Federal mandate

for a fiscal year shall be determined based on estimates made by the Committee on the Budget, in consultation with the Director, of the House of Representatives or the Senate, as the case may be.

“(d) LIMITATION ON APPLICATION OF SUBSECTION (a)(2).—Subsection (a)(2) shall not apply to any bill, joint resolution, amendment, or conference report that reauthorizes appropriations for carrying out, or that amends, any statute if enactment of the bill, joint resolution, amendment, or conference report—

“(1) would not result in a net increase in the aggregate amount of direct costs of Federal intergovernmental mandates; and

“(2)(A) would not result in a net reduction or elimination of authorizations of appropriations for Federal financial assistance that would be provided to State, local governments, or tribal governments for use to comply with any Federal intergovernmental mandate; or

“(B) in the case of any net reduction or elimination of authorizations of appropriations for such Federal financial assistance that would result from such enactment, would reduce the duties imposed by the Federal intergovernmental mandate by a corresponding amount.

“SEC. 426. ENFORCEMENT IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

“It shall not be in order in the House of Representatives to consider a rule or order that waives the application of section 425(a).

“SEC. 427. DISPOSITION OF POINTS OF ORDER.

“(a) THRESHOLD BURDEN.—In order to be cognizable by the Chair, a point of order under section 425(a) or 426 must specify the precise language on which it is premised.

“(b) QUESTION OF CONSIDERATION.—As disposition of points of order under section 425(a) or 426, the Chair shall put the question of consideration with respect to the proposition that is the subject of the points of order.

“(c) DEBATE AND INTERVENING MOTIONS.—A question of consideration under this section shall be debatable for 10 minutes by each Member initiating a point of order and for 10 minutes by an opponent on each point of order, but shall otherwise be decided without intervening motion except one that the House adjourn or that the Committee of the Whole rise, as the case may be.

“(d) EFFECT ON AMENDMENT IN ORDER AS ORIGINAL TEXT.—The disposition of the question of consideration under this section with respect to a bill or joint resolution shall be considered also to determine the question of consideration under this section with respect to an amendment made in order as original text.”.

SEC. 302. ENFORCEMENT IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

(a) MOTIONS TO STRIKE IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.—Clause 5 of rule XXIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(c) In the consideration of any measure for amendment in the Committee of the Whole containing any Federal mandate the direct costs of which exceed the threshold in section 424(a)(1)(A) of the Unfunded Mandate Reform Act of 1995, it shall always be in order, unless specifically waived by terms of a rule governing consideration of that measure, to move to strike such Federal mandate from the portion of the bill then open to amendment.”.

(b) COMMITTEE ON RULES REPORTS ON WAIVED POINTS OF ORDER.—The Committee on Rules shall include in the report required by clause 1(d) of rule XI (relating to its activities during the Congress) of the Rules of the House of Representatives a separate item identifying all waivers of points of order relating to Federal mandates, listed by bill or

joint resolution number and the subject matter of that measure.

SEC. 303. EXERCISE OF RULEMAKING POWERS.

The provisions of this title (except section 305) are enacted by Congress—

(1) as an exercise of the rulemaking powers of the House of Representatives and the Senate, and as such they shall be considered as part of the rules of the House of Representatives and the Senate, respectively, and such rules shall supersede other rules only to the extent that they are inconsistent therewith; and

(2) with full recognition of the constitutional right of the House of Representatives and the Senate to change such rules at any time, in the same manner, and to the same extent as in the case of any other rule of the House of Representatives or the Senate, respectively.

SEC. 304. CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Section 1(b) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 is amended by inserting “PART A—GENERAL PROVISIONS” before the item relating to section 401 and by inserting after the item relating to section 407 the following:

“PART B—FEDERAL MANDATES

“Sec. 421. Definitions.

“Sec. 422. Limitation on application.

“Sec. 423. Duties of congressional committees.

“Sec. 424. Duties of the Director.

“Sec. 425. Point of order.

“Sec. 426. Enforcement in the House of Representatives.”.

SEC. 305. TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.

(a) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—The State and Local Government Cost Estimate Act of 1981 (Public Law 97-108) is repealed.

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Section 403 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 is amended to read as follows:

“ANALYSIS BY CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE

“SEC. 403. The Director of the Congressional Budget Office shall, to the extent practicable, prepare for each bill or resolution of a public character reported by any committee of the House of Representatives or the Senate (except the Committee on Appropriations of each House), and submit to such committee—

“(1) an estimate of the costs which would be incurred in carrying out such bill or resolution in the fiscal year in which it is to become effective and in each of the 4 fiscal years following such fiscal year, together with the basis for each such estimate; and

“(2) a comparison of the estimate of costs described in paragraph (1) with any available estimate of costs made by such committee or by any Federal agency.

The estimate and comparison so submitted shall be included in the report accompanying such bill or resolution if timely submitted to such committee before such report is filed.”.

SEC. 306. EFFECTIVE DATE.

This title shall take effect on October 1, 1995.

The bill, as amended, was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read a third time by title.

Mrs. COLLINS of Illinois moved to recommit the bill to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

By unanimous consent, the previous question was ordered.

The question being put, viva voce, Will the House recommit said bill?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. UPTON, announced that the nays had it.

So the motion to recommit was not agreed to.

The question being put, viva voce, Will the House pass said bill?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. UPTON, announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. CLINGER demanded a recorded vote on passage of said bill, which demand was supported by one-fifth of a quorum, so a recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device.

It was decided in the affirmative { Yeas 360 Nays 74

¶17.10 [Roll No. 83] AYES—360

Ackerman	DeFazio	Hilleary
Allard	DeLauro	Hobson
Andrews	DeLay	Hoekstra
Archer	Deutsch	Hoke
Armey	Diaz-Balart	Holden
Bachus	Dickey	Horn
Baesler	Dicks	Hostettler
Baker (CA)	Dixon	Houghton
Baker (LA)	Doggett	Hoyer
Baldacci	Dooley	Hunter
Ballenger	Doolittle	Hutchinson
Barcia	Dornan	Hyde
Barr	Doyle	Inglis
Barrett (NE)	Dreier	Istook
Barrett (WI)	Duncan	Jackson-Lee
Bartlett	Dunn	Jacobs
Barton	Durbin	Johnson (CT)
Bass	Edwards	Johnson (SD)
Bateman	Ehlers	Johnson, E. B.
Bentsen	Ehrlich	Johnson, Sam
Bereuter	Emerson	Jones
Berman	English	Kanjorski
Bevill	Ensign	Kaptur
Bilbray	Eshoo	Kasich
Bilirakis	Everett	Kelly
Bishop	Ewing	Kennedy (MA)
Bliley	Fawell	Kennelly
Blute	Fazio	Kildee
Boehkert	Fields (LA)	Kim
Boehner	Fields (TX)	King
Bonilla	Flake	Kingston
Bono	Flanagan	Klecicka
Borski	Foley	Klink
Boucher	Forbes	Klug
Brewster	Ford	Knollenberg
Browder	Fowler	Kolbe
Brownback	Fox	LaHood
Bryant (TN)	Frank (MA)	Lantos
Bryant (TX)	Franks (CT)	Largent
Bunn	Franks (NJ)	Latham
Bunning	Frelinghuysen	LaTourette
Burr	Frisa	Laughlin
Burton	Frost	Lazio
Buyer	Funderburk	Leach
Callahan	Furse	Lewis (CA)
Calvert	Galleghy	Lewis (KY)
Camp	Ganske	Lightfoot
Canady	Gekas	Lincoln
Cardin	Gephardt	Linder
Castle	Geren	Lipinski
Chabot	Gilchrest	Livingston
Chambliss	Gillmor	LoBiondo
Chapman	Gilman	Lofgren
Chenoweth	Gingrich	Longley
Christensen	Gonzalez	Lowe
Chrysler	Goodlatte	Lucas
Clement	Goodling	Luther
Clinger	Gordon	Manton
Clyburn	Goss	Manzullo
Coble	Graham	Markey
Coburn	Green	Martini
Coleman	Greenwood	Mascara
Collins (GA)	Gunderson	McCarthy
Combest	Gutknecht	McCollum
Condit	Hall (OH)	McCrery
Cooley	Hall (TX)	McDade
Costello	Hamilton	McHale
Cox	Hancock	McHugh
Cramer	Hansen	McInnis
Crane	Harman	McIntosh
Crapo	Hastert	McKeon
Creameans	Hastings (WA)	McNulty
Cubin	Hayes	Meehan
Cunningham	Hayworth	Menendez
Danner	Hefley	Metcalfe
Davis	Hefner	Meyers
de la Garza	Heineman	Mica
Deal	Hergert	Miller (FL)