

**SEC. 6. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON IMPACT OF LEGISLATION ON CHILDREN.**

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that Congress should not adopt or enact any legislation that will increase the number of children who are hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured.

(b) LEGISLATIVE ACCOUNTABILITY FOR IMPACT ON CHILDREN.—In the event legislation enacted to comply with this resolution results in an increase in the number of hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured by the end of fiscal year 1997, Congress shall revisit the provisions of such legislation which caused such increase and shall, as soon as practicable thereafter, adopt legislation which would halt any continuation of such increase.

It was decided in the { Yeas ..... 63  
negative ..... } Nays ..... 362

59.10

[Roll No. 176]

AYES—63

Becerra	Ford	Nadler
Bishop	Frank (MA)	Oberstar
Bonior	Gibbons	Owens
Brown (FL)	Gutierrez	Payne (NJ)
Clay	Hastings (FL)	Rangel
Clayton	Hilliard	Rush
Clyburn	Hinchev	Sanders
Collins (IL)	Jackson (IL)	Schroeder
Collins (MI)	Jackson-Lee	Scott
Conyers	(TX)	Serrano
Coyne	Jefferson	Stark
Cummings	Johnson, E.B.	Stokes
DeFazio	Johnston	Studds
Dellums	Lewis (GA)	Thompson
Dixon	Markey	Torres
Engel	Martinez	Velazquez
Evans	McDermott	Waters
Fattah	McKinney	Watt (NC)
Fields (LA)	Meeek	Waxman
Filner	Millender-	Wynn
Flake	McDonald	Yates
Foglietta	Moakley	

NOES—362

Abercrombie	Canady	English
Ackerman	Cardin	Ensign
Allard	Castle	Eshoo
Andrews	Chabot	Everett
Archer	Chambliss	Ewing
Armey	Chapman	Farr
Bachus	Christensen	Fawell
Baessler	Chrysler	Fazio
Baker (CA)	Clement	Fields (TX)
Baker (LA)	Clinger	Flanagan
Baldacci	Coble	Foley
Ballenger	Coburn	Forbes
Barcia	Coleman	Fowler
Barr	Collins (GA)	Fox
Barrett (NE)	Combest	Franks (CT)
Barrett (WI)	Condit	Franks (NJ)
Bartlett	Cooley	Frelinghuysen
Barton	Costello	Frisa
Bass	Cox	Frost
Bateman	Cramer	Funderburk
Beilenson	Crane	Furse
Bentsen	Crapo	Gallegly
Bereuter	Creameans	Ganske
Berman	Cubin	Gejdenson
Bilbray	Cunningham	Gekas
Bilirakis	Danner	Gephardt
Bliley	Davis	Gerren
Blute	de la Garza	Gilchrest
Boehlert	Deal	Gillmor
Boehner	DeLauro	Gilman
Bonilla	DeLay	Gonzalez
Bono	Deutsch	Goodlatte
Borski	Diaz-Balart	Goodling
Boucher	Dickey	Gordon
Brewster	Dicks	Goss
Browder	Dingell	Graham
Brown (CA)	Doggett	Green (TX)
Brown (OH)	Dooley	Greene (UT)
Brownback	Doolittle	Greenwood
Bryant (TN)	Dornan	Gunderson
Bryant (TX)	Doyle	Gutknecht
Bunn	Dreier	Hall (OH)
Bunning	Duncan	Hall (TX)
Burr	Dunn	Hamilton
Buyer	Durbin	Hancock
Callahan	Edwards	Hansen
Calvert	Ehlers	Harman
Camp	Ehrlich	Hastert
Campbell	Emerson	Hastings (WA)

Hayworth	McDade	Salmon
Hefley	McHale	Sanford
Hefner	McHugh	Sawyer
Heineman	McInnis	Saxton
Herger	McIntosh	Scarborough
Hilleary	McKeon	Schaefer
Hobson	McNulty	Schiff
Hoekstra	Meehan	Schumer
Hoke	Menendez	Seastrand
Holden	Metcalf	Sensenbrenner
Horn	Meyers	Shadegg
Hostettler	Mica	Shaw
Houghton	Miller (CA)	Shays
Hoyer	Miller (FL)	Shuster
Hunter	Minge	Sisisky
Hutchinson	Mink	Skaggs
Hyde	Mollohan	Skeen
Inglis	Montgomery	Skelton
Istook	Moorhead	Slaughter
Jacobs	Moran	Smith (MI)
Johnson (CT)	Morella	Smith (NJ)
Johnson (SD)	Murtha	Smith (TX)
Johnson, Sam	Myers	Smith (WA)
Jones	Myrick	Solomon
Kanjorski	Neal	Souder
Kaptur	Nethercutt	Spence
Kasich	Neumann	Spratt
Kelly	Ney	Stearns
Kennedy (MA)	Norwood	Stenholm
Kennedy (RI)	Nussle	Stockman
Kennelly	Obey	Stump
Kildee	Olver	Stupak
Kim	Ortiz	Tanner
King	Orton	Tate
Kingston	Oxley	Tauzin
Klecza	Packard	Taylor (MS)
Klink	Pallone	Taylor (NC)
Klug	Parker	Tejeda
Knollenberg	Pastor	Thomas
Kolbe	Payne (VA)	Thornberry
LaFalce	Pelosi	Thornton
LaHood	Peterson (FL)	Thurman
Lantos	Peterson (MN)	Tiahrt
Largent	Petri	Torkildsen
Latham	Pickett	Torricelli
LaTourette	Pombo	Traficant
Laughlin	Pomeroy	Upton
Lazio	Porter	Vento
Leach	Portman	Visclosky
Levin	Poshard	Volkmer
Lewis (CA)	Pryce	Vucanovich
Lewis (KY)	Quillen	Walker
Lightfoot	Quinn	Walsh
Lincoln	Radanovich	Wamp
Linder	Rahall	Ward
Lipinski	Ramstad	Watts (OK)
Livingston	Reed	Weldon (FL)
LoBiondo	Regula	Weldon (PA)
Lofgren	Richardson	Weller
Longley	Riggs	White
Lowe	Rivers	Whitfield
Lucas	Roberts	Wicker
Luther	Roemer	Williams
Maloney	Rogers	Wilson
Manton	Rohrabacher	Wise
Manzullo	Ros-Lehtinen	Wolf
Martini	Rose	Woolsey
Mascara	Roth	Young (AK)
Matsui	Roukema	Young (FL)
McCarthy	Royal-Allard	Zeliff
McCollum	Royce	Zimmer
McCrery	Sabo	

NOT VOTING—8

Bevill	Hayes	Talent
Burton	Molinari	Towns
Chenoweth	Paxon	

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to.

After some further time,

**59.11 RECORDED VOTE**

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. ORTON:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

**SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997.**

The Congress determines and declares that the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1997 is hereby established and that the appropriate budgetary levels for fis-

cal years 1998 through 2002 are hereby set forth.

**SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.**

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002:

(1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution:

(A) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,107,513,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,165,720,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,214,661,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$1,405,637,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$1,330,292,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$1,392,543,000,000.

(B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be changed are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$7,157,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$17,170,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$16,303,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$1,269,637,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$19,192,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$18,645,000,000.

(2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,316,223,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,364,054,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,405,593,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$1,448,718,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$1,480,821,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$1,529,237,000,000.

(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,313,391,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,352,476,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,388,058,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$1,428,498,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$1,453,221,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$1,501,530,000,000.

(4) DEFICITS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the amounts of the deficits are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$205,878,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$186,756,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$173,397,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$158,861,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$122,929,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$108,987,000,000.

(5) PUBLIC DEBT.—The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$5,417,500,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$5,651,100,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$5,864,000,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$6,058,600,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$6,212,600,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$6,344,300,000,000.

(6) DIRECT LOAN OBLIGATIONS.—The appropriate levels of total new direct loan obligations are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$41,432,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$39,420,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$42,470,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$43,895,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$45,292,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$46,718,000,000.

(7) PRIMARY LOAN GUARANTEE COMMITMENTS.—The appropriate levels of new primary loan guarantee commitments are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$267,340,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1998: \$266,819,000,000.  
Fiscal year 1999: \$266,088,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2000: \$267,079,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2001: \$267,982,000,000.  
Fiscal year 2002: \$269,051,000,000.

**SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.**

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 for each major functional category are:

- Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$259,235,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$262,484,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$800,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$263,733,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$259,351,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$267,996,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$261,560,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$273,082,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$267,858,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$272,300,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$265,703,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$272,372,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$269,364,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$200,000,000.
- (2) International Affairs (150):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$14,178,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$15,008,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,342,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$18,251,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,682,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$13,566,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,417,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$18,628,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,838,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$12,552,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,518,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$19,030,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,749,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$11,461,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,618,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$19,406,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,879,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$11,669,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,739,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$19,858,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$13,124,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$11,727,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$4,891,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$20,431,000,000.
- (3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$16,840,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$16,894,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$16,841,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$16,852,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$16,843,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$16,776,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$16,844,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$16,822,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$16,845,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$16,844,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$16,846,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$16,845,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- (4) Energy (270):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,728,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$3,080,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,033,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,654,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$2,695,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,050,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,220,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$2,180,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,078,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,167,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$2,035,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,109,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,337,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$2,179,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,141,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$3,065,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$1,816,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,174,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,359,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$21,969,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$37,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,131,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$21,846,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$41,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,277,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$21,921,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$41,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,150,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$21,630,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$41,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,032,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$21,253,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$44,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,019,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$21,089,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$44,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.
- (6) Agriculture (350):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,617,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$10,778,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$7,810,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$5,994,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,663,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$10,677,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$9,387,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,765,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,481,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$10,529,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,808,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,836,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$11,933,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$10,026,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,825,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,909,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2001:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,889,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$9,081,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,708,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$6,983,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2002:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,646,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$8,816,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$10,706,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$7,060,000,000.
- (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):  
 Fiscal year 1997:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$7,928,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$826,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,910,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,096,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$9,878,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$5,381,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,900,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,218,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$10,622,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$5,713,000,000.  
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,954,000,000.  
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,427,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000:  
 (A) New budget authority, \$12,421,000,000.  
 (B) Outlays, \$6,686,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,015,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,723,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$11,984,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$7,198,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,072,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$198,876,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$12,325,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$7,837,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,134,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$199,111,000,000.

(8) Transportation (400):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$43,944,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,307,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$15,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$44,651,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$38,616,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$43,544,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$36,014,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$44,240,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$35,526,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$17,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$44,854,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$34,788,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$17,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$45,582,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$34,440,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$18,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(9) Community and Regional Development (450):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,733,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$10,409,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,231,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,181,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,268,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$10,024,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,257,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,229,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,556,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$9,464,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,287,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,315,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,621,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$9,163,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,365,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,369,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,610,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$8,671,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,404,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,448,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,498,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$8,149,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,430,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,496,000,000.

(10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$53,099,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$51,302,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,219,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$15,469,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$54,914,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$53,764,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$19,040,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$14,760,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$56,631,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$55,520,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$21,781,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$13,854,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$57,968,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$56,675,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$22,884,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$14,589,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$59,496,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$57,975,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$23,978,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$15,319,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$61,089,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$59,302,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$25,127,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$16,085,000,000.

(11) Health (550):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$130,271,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$129,859,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$187,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$137,102,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$136,870,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$94,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$146,449,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$146,486,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$155,462,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$155,232,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$163,952,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$163,535,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$174,717,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$174,167,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(12) Medicare (570):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$191,735,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$190,051,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$205,671,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$203,946,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$219,739,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$217,467,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$233,083,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$231,334,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$249,351,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$247,617,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$266,091,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$263,690,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(13) Income Security (600):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$231,135,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$238,848,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$243,312,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$247,097,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$252,613,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$256,017,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$266,923,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$268,708,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$273,393,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$273,190,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$288,716,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$286,757,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(14) Social Security (650):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$7,813,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$11,001,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,477,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$11,664,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$9,220,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$12,369,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$9,980,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,129,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$10,776,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,925,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$11,608,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$14,757,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$39,074,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,570,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$935,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$26,362,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$38,910,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,387,000,000.  
(C) New direct loan obligations, \$962,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$25,925,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$39,420,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,603,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$987,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$25,426,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$39,548,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$41,235,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,021,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$24,883,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$39,803,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$38,655,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,189,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$24,298,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$40,005,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$40,268,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,194,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$23,668,000,000.

(16) Administration of Justice (750):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$22,127,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$19,930,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$22,302,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$21,162,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$23,186,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$22,241,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$23,235,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$22,944,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$22,119,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$22,461,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$22,143,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$22,085,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(17) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,655,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,362,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,661,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,522,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,311,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,299,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,149,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,346,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,086,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,046,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,147,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,104,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(18) Net Interest (900):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$282,011,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$281,971,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$287,083,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$286,933,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$289,332,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$289,032,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$289,637,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$289,162,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$292,873,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$292,190,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$297,178,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$296,252,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(19) Allowances (920):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, -\$0.

(B) Outlays, -\$0.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, -\$6,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$6,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, -\$7,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$7,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, -\$8,500,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$8,500,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, -\$9,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$9,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, -\$9,500,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$9,500,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

(20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, -\$43,258,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$43,258,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$7,900,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, -\$34,878,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$34,878,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,350,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, -\$33,685,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$33,685,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, -\$35,974,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$35,974,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, -\$37,759,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$37,759,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, -\$39,435,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$39,435,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0.

#### SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION.

(a) Not later than June 21, 1996, the House committees named in subsection (b) shall submit their recommendations to the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving those recommendations, the House Committee on the Budget shall report to the House a reconciliation bill carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.

(b)(1) The House Committee on Agriculture shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$2,082,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$15,117,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$18,852,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(2) The House Committee on Banking and Financial Services shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$367,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$2,428,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$3,026,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(3) The House Committee on Commerce shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$10,717,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$158,844,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$226,598,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(4) The House Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$220,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$2,454,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$3,198,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(5) The House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$2,600,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$40,278,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$50,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(6) The House Committee on the Judiciary shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$0 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$357,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$476,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(7) The House Committee on National Security shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$84,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$493,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$649,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(8) The House Committee on Resources shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$74,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$308,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$332,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(9) The House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$19,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$810,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$885,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(10) The House Committee on Veterans' Affairs shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce outlays, as follows: \$117,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 1997, \$2,378,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and \$3,232,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(11) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the deficit, as follows: by \$14,766,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, by \$172,990,000,000 in fiscal years 1997 through 2001, and by \$231,595,000,000 in fiscal years 1997 through 2002.

(c) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term "direct spending" has the

meaning given to such term in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

**SEC. 5. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND FEDERAL ASSISTANCE.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—

(1) domestic violence is the leading cause of physical injury to women; the Department of Justice estimates that over one million violent crimes against women are committed by intimate partners annually;

(2) domestic violence dramatically affects the victim's ability to participate in the workforce; a University of Minnesota survey reported that one-quarter of battered women surveyed had lost a job partly because of being abused and that over half of these women had been harassed by their abuser at work;

(3) domestic violence is often intensified as women seek to gain economic independence through attending school or training programs; batterers have been reported to prevent women from attending these programs or sabotage their efforts at self-improvement;

(4) nationwide surveys of service providers prepared by the Taylor Institute of Chicago, document, for the first time, the interrelationship between domestic violence and welfare by showing that between 50 percent and 80 percent of AFDC recipients are current or past victims of domestic violence;

(5) over half of the women surveyed stayed with their batterers because they lacked the resources to support themselves and their children; the surveys also found that the availability of economic support is a critical factor in poor women's ability to leave abusive situations that threaten them and their children; and

(6) proposals to restructure the welfare programs may impact the availability of the economic support and the safety net necessary to enable poor women to flee abuse without risking homelessness and starvation for their families.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) no welfare reform provision shall be enacted by Congress unless and until Congress considers whether such welfare reform provisions will exacerbate violence against women and their children, further endanger women's lives, make it more difficult for women to escape domestic violence, or further punish women victimized by violence; and

(2) any welfare reform measure enacted by Congress shall require that any welfare-to-work, education, or job placement programs implemented by the States will address the impact of domestic violence on welfare recipients.

**SEC. 6. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON IMPACT OF LEGISLATION ON CHILDREN.**

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that Congress should not adopt or enact any legislation that will increase the number of children who are hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured.

(b) LEGISLATIVE ACCOUNTABILITY FOR IMPACT ON CHILDREN.—In the event legislation enacted to comply with this resolution results in an increase in the number of hungry, homeless, poor, or medically uninsured by the end of fiscal year 1997, Congress shall revisit the provisions of such legislation which caused such increase and shall, as soon as practicable thereafter, adopt legislation which would halt any continuation of such increase.

**SEC. 7. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING TAX CUTS.**

It is the sense of Congress that changes in tax laws which promote job creation, economic growth, and increased savings and investment should be enacted and be offset by

changes which close tax loopholes and eliminate corporate welfare.

**SEC. 8. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE DEBT.**

It is the sense of Congress that eliminating the deficit by producing a balanced budget is only the first step toward the ultimate goal of reducing and eventually eliminating the public debt.

**SEC. 9. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING TRUST FUND SURPLUSES.**

It is the sense of Congress that—

(2) all recent-year Federal budgets, as well as both fiscal year 1996 budget resolutions reported out by the Committees on the Budget of the House of Representatives and the Senate, have masked the magnitude of annual deficits by counting various trust fund surpluses; and

(2) upon reaching a balance in the Federal budget, the Government should move toward balance without consideration of trust fund surpluses.

**SEC. 10. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING BALANCED BUDGET ENFORCEMENT.**

It is the sense of Congress that, in order to ensure that a balanced budget is achieved by fiscal year 2002 and that the budget remains in balance thereafter, title XIV of H.R. 2530 establishing strict budget enforcement mechanisms should be enacted. Such language would—

(1) require the Federal Government to reach a balanced Federal budget by fiscal year 2002 and remain in balance thereafter;

(2) establish procedures for developing honest, accurate, and accepted budget estimates;

(3) require that the President propose annual budgets that would achieve a balanced Federal budget by fiscal year 2002 and for each year thereafter, using accurate assumptions;

(4) require the Committees on the Budget of the House of Representatives and the Senate to report budget resolutions that achieve a balanced Federal budget by fiscal year 2002 and for each year thereafter, using accurate assumptions; and

(5) require Congress and the President to take action if the deficit targets in this resolution are not met.

**SEC. 11. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING MEDICARE REFORM.**

It is the sense of Congress that any legislation reforming medicare should reflect the policies and distribution of savings contained in H.R. 2530. Specifically, that legislation should—

(1) reform policies for medicare risk contracting to expand the choice of private options available to all medicare beneficiaries, including individuals in rural areas;

(2) contain regulatory reforms to facilitate the creation of provider-sponsored networks;

(3) contain reasonable reductions in the growth of payments to providers that do not threaten the availability or quality of care;

(4) require higher income medicare beneficiaries to pay a greater portion of medicare premiums without establishing a new bureaucracy for the collection of premiums;

(5) expand coverage of preventive benefits under medicare;

(6) provide a demonstration project for Medical Savings Accounts for medicare beneficiaries; and

(7) prohibit managed care plans from charging medicare beneficiaries additional premiums beyond the part B premium.

**SEC. 12. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING MEDICAID REFORM.**

It is the sense of Congress that any legislation changing the medicaid program pursuant to this resolution should—

(1) continue guaranteed coverage for low-income children, pregnant women, the elderly, and the disabled;

(2) continue the guarantee of an adequate benefits package for all medicaid beneficiaries;

(3) provide States with greater flexibility in the delivery of services and administration of the program;

(4) contain a financing mechanism in which the Federal Government fully shares in changes in program costs resulting from changes in caseload;

(5) require States to maintain current levels of financial effort to preserve the current joint Federal-State partnership in meeting the costs of this program;

(6) continue current restrictions on the use of provider taxes and donations and other illusory State financing schemes;

(7) continue Federal minimum standards for nursing homes;

(8) continue Federal rules that prevent wives or husbands from being required to impoverish themselves in order to obtain and keep medicaid benefits for their spouse requiring nursing home care; and

(9) continue coverage of medicaid premiums and cost sharing for low-income seniors.

SEC. 13. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING WELFARE REFORM.

It is the sense of Congress that any legislation reforming welfare programs pursuant to this resolution should—

(1) impose tough work requirements on able-bodied recipients;

(2) provide sufficient resources for job training, child care, and other programs necessary to help welfare recipients make the transition from welfare to work;

(3) require States to maintain levels of financial support sufficient to operate an effective program;

(4) contain effective counter-cyclical mechanisms to assist States facing economic downturns or increases in population;

(5) include provisions holding States accountable for the use of Federal funds and the effectiveness of State programs;

(6) contain strong child support provisions; and

(7) maintain the integrity of the food stamp program as a national safety net.

It was decided in the { Yeas ..... 130 negative ..... } Nays ..... 295

§59.12 [Roll No. 177] AYES—130

Table with 3 columns of names: Andrews, Baesler, Baldacci, Barcia, Barrett (NE), Barrett (WI), Barton, Beilenson, Bentsen, Bereuter, Bevill, Bishop, Blute, Brewster, Browder, Brown (CA), Brown (FL), Campbell, Cardin, Chapman, Clay, Clayton, Clement, Clyburn, Collins (MI), Condit, Cramer, Davis, de la Garza, Dicks, Dingell, Doggett, Dooley, Doyle, Duncan, Eshoo, Farr, Fattah, Fazio, Fields (LA), Filner, Flake, Foglietta, Furse, Geren, Gibbons, Gordon, Gunderson, Hall (OH), Hall (TX), Hamilton, Harman, Hastings (FL), Hefner, Hilliard, Holden, Hoyer, Jackson (IL), Jackson-Lee (TX), Jefferson, Johnson (CT), Johnson, E. B., Johnston, Kennelly, Klug, LaFalce, LaHood, Lantos, Leach, Lincoln, Lofgren, Luther, Martinez, Matsui, McCarthy, McDade, McDermott, McHale, McKinney, Meehan, Meek, Metcalf, Millender, McDonald, Minge, Montgomery, Moran, Morella, Murtha, Oberstar, Olver, Ortiz, Orton, Payne (NJ), Payne (VA), Peterson (FL), Peterson (MN), Pomeroy, Porter, Poshard, Richardson, Rivers, Roemer, Rose, Stenholm, Stokes, Studds, Tanner, Tauzin, Taylor (MS), Thompson, Towns, Vento, Martini, Mascara, McCollum, McCrery, McHugh, McInnis, McIntosh, McKeon, McNulty, Menendez, Meyers, Mica, Mink, Moakley, Mollohan, Moorhead, Myers, Myrick, Nadler, Neal, Nethercutt, Neumann, Ney, Norwood, Nussle, Obey, Owens, Oxley, Packard, Pallone, Parker, Pastor, Pelosi, Petri, Pickett, Pombo, Portman, Pryce, Quillen, Quinn, Radanovich, Rahall, Ramstad, Rangel, Reed, Regula, Riggs, Roberts, Rogers, Rohrabacher, Ros-Lehtinen, Roth, Roybal-Allard, Royce, Rush, Salmon, Sanders, Sanford, Saxton, Scarborough, Schaefer, Schiff, Schumer, Seastrand, Sensenbrenner, Serrano, Shadegg, Shaw, Shays, Shuster, Skeen, Slaughter, Smith (MI), Smith (NJ), Smith (TX), Smith (WA), Solomon, Lightfoot, Souder, Spence, Stark, Stearns, Stockman, Stump, Stupak, Tate, Taylor (NC), Tejeda, Thomas, Thornberry, Roukema, Sabo, Sawyer, Schroeder, Scott, Sisisky, Skaggs, Skelton, Spratt, Abercrombie, Ackerman, Allard, Archer, Arney, Bachus, Baker (CA), Baker (LA), Ballenger, Barr, Bartlett, Bass, Bateman, Becerra, Berman, Bilbray, Bilirakis, Bliley, Boehlert, Boehner, Bonilla, Bonior, Bono, Borski, Boucher, Brown (OH), Brownback, Bryant (TN), Bryant (TX), Bunn, Bunning, Burr, Burton, Buyer, Callahan, Calvert, Camp, Canady, Castle, Chabot, Chambliss, Chenoweth, Christensen, Chrysler, Clinger, Coble, Coburn, Coleman, Collins (GA), Collins (IL), Combest, Conyers, Cooley, Costello, Cox, Coyne, Crane, Crane, Crapo, Creameans, Cubin, Cummings, Cunningham, Danner, Deal, DeFazio, DeLauro, DeLay, Dellums, Deutsch, Diaz-Balart, Dickey, Dixon, Doolittle, Dornan, Dreier, Dunn, Durbin, Edwards, Ehrlich, Emerson, Engel, English, Ensign, Evans, Everrett, Ewing, Fawell, Fields (TX), Flanagan, Stenholm, Stokes, Studds, Tanner, Tauzin, Taylor (MS), Thompson, Towns, Vento, Martini, Mascara, McCollum, McCrery, McHugh, McInnis, McIntosh, McKeon, McNulty, Menendez, Meyers, Mica, Mink, Moakley, Mollohan, Moorhead, Myers, Myrick, Nadler, Neal, Nethercutt, Neumann, Ney, Norwood, Nussle, Obey, Owens, Oxley, Packard, Pallone, Parker, Pastor, Pelosi, Petri, Pickett, Pombo, Portman, Pryce, Quillen, Quinn, Radanovich, Rahall, Ramstad, Rangel, Reed, Regula, Riggs, Roberts, Rogers, Rohrabacher, Ros-Lehtinen, Roth, Roybal-Allard, Royce, Rush, Salmon, Sanders, Sanford, Saxton, Scarborough, Schaefer, Schiff, Schumer, Seastrand, Sensenbrenner, Serrano, Shadegg, Shaw, Shays, Shuster, Skeen, Slaughter, Smith (MI), Smith (NJ), Smith (TX), Smith (WA), Solomon, Lightfoot, Souder, Spence, Stark, Stearns, Stockman, Stump, Stupak, Tate, Taylor (NC), Tejeda, Thomas, Thornberry, Walker, Walsh, Waters, Watts (OK), Waxman, Weldon (FL), Weldon (PA), Weller, White, Whitfield, Wicker, Williams, Wolf, Woolsey, Yates, Young (FL), Zeliff, Zimmer, Ehlers, Ford, Hayes, Miller (CA), Miller (FL), Molinari, Paxon, Talent, NOT VOTING—8

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to.

After some further time, The Committee rose informally to receive a message from the President.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. KOLBE, assumed the Chair.

§59.13 MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Edwin Thomas, one of his secretaries.

The Committee resumed its sitting; and after some further time spent therein,

§59.14 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. SABO:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997.

The Congress determines and declares that the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1997 is hereby established and that the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1998 through 2002 are hereby set forth.

SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002:

(1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution:

(A) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,092,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$1,146,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$1,195,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000: \$1,244,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001: \$1,309,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002: \$1,389,900,000,000.

(B) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be changed are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: -\$7,929,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: -\$2,150,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: -\$2,741,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000: -\$7,219,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001: -\$1,721,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002: \$16,024,000,000.

(2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,325,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$1,374,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$1,413,100,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000: \$1,454,700,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001: \$1,496,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002: \$1,528,300,000,000.

(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,321,000,000,000.