

matters before the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct.”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. LATOURETTE, sustained the point of order, and said:

“The gentleman is correct. The gentlewoman is directed to continue in order.”.

¶106.11 POINT OF ORDER

Ms. DELAURO further addressed the House and, during the course of her remarks,

Mr. LINDER made a point of order, and said:

“Mr. Speaker, I would ask you to enforce the rules of this House, because each of these Members has found ways to go back to the references to the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct, when they should be called out of order and asked to sit down.”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. LATOURETTE, responded to the point of order, and said:

“The Chair has repeatedly asked Members to respect the rules of the House and rulings of the Chair. There are opportunities available to the Chair to enforce the rules of the House. The appropriate manner in which to enforce it at this moment in time is a point of order made by another Member. * * *

“The gentlewoman [Ms. DELAURO] may proceed in order.”.

¶106.12 POINT OF ORDER

Mr. MILLER of California during one minute speeches addressed the House and, during the course of his remarks,

Mr. LINDER made a point of order, and said:

“Mr. Speaker, the gentleman is not adhering to the rulings of the House again with respect to speaking on the floor regarding matters before the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct.”.

Mr. MILLER of California was recognized to speak to the point of order, and said:

“Mr. Speaker, the words I have uttered up until the time I was interrupted are not my words. They are in fact the words of Speaker GINGRICH on July 28, 1988, in a letter from Speaker GINGRICH to the Honorable JULIAN DIXON, the former Chair of the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, this is proper.

“If I can continue to be heard on the point of order, Mr. Speaker, I am not speaking on a matter that is currently before the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct. I am speaking to a matter that was before the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct in 1988, where the question was raised at that time as to whether or not that committee had, one, limited the scope of inquiry by the special counsel, where the question was raised as to the contract between the special counsel and the committee, and whether or not the committee was——.”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. LATOURETTE, overruled the point of order, and said:

“The gentleman will kindly suspend. The Chair is prepared to rule.

“The Chair is acceding to the gentleman from California’s [Mr. MILLER] points. The gentleman may proceed in that context.”.

¶106.13 SUBMISSION OF CONFERENCE REPORT—H.R. 3816

Mr. MYERS submitted a conference report (Rept. No. 104-782) on the bill (H.R. 3816) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1997, and for other purposes; together with a statement thereon, for printing in the Record under the rule.

¶106.14 ORDER OF BUSINESS— CONSIDERATION OF CONFERENCE REPORT—H.R. 3816

On motion of Mr. MYERS, by unanimous consent,

Ordered, That it may be in order at any time on Thursday, September 12, 1996, or any day thereafter, to consider a conference report to accompany the bill (H.R. 3816) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1997, and for other purposes; that all points of order against the conference report and against its consideration be waived; and that the conference report be considered as read when called up.

¶106.15 ENERGY AND WATER APPROPRIATIONS

Mr. MYERS, pursuant to the foregoing order of the House, called up the following conference report (Rept. No. 104-782):

The Committee of Conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3816) “making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1997, and for other purposes,” having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert:

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1997, for energy and water development, and for other purposes, namely:

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The following appropriations shall be expended under the direction of the Secretary of the Army and the supervision of the Chief of Engineers for authorized civil functions of the Department of the Army pertaining to rivers and harbors, flood control, beach erosion, and related purposes.

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

For expenses necessary for the collection and study of basic information pertaining to river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects, restudy of authorized projects, miscellaneous investigations, and, when authorized by laws, surveys and

detailed studies and plans and specifications of projects prior to construction, \$153,872,000, to remain available until expended, of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

Norco Bluffs, California \$180,000;
San Joaquin River Basin, Caliente Creek, California, \$150,000;
Tampa Harbor, Alafia Channel, Florida, \$100,000;
Lake George, Hobart, Indiana, \$100,000;
Little Calumet River Basin, Cady Marsh Ditch, Indiana, \$200,000;
Tahoe Basin Study, Nevada and California, \$100,000;
Barnegat Inlet to Little Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, \$300,000;
Brigantine Inlet to Great Egg Harbor Inlet, New Jersey, \$360,000;
Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey, \$200,000;
Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey, \$250,000;
Townsends Inlet to Cape May Inlet, New Jersey, \$245,000;
South Shore of Staten Island, New York, \$200,000;
Mussers Dam, Middle Creek, Snyder County, Pennsylvania, \$450,000;
Rhode Island South Coast, Habitat Restoration and Storm Damage Reduction, Rhode Island, \$100,000;
Monongahela River, West Virginia, \$500,000;
Monongahela River, Fairmont, West Virginia, \$100,000; and
Tygart River Basin, Philippi, West Virginia, \$100,000.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

For the prosecution of river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects authorized by laws; and detailed studies, and plans and specifications, of projects (including those for development with participation or under consideration for participation by States, local governments, or private groups) authorized or made eligible for selection by law (but such studies shall not constitute a commitment of the Government to construction), \$1,081,942,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as are necessary pursuant to Public Law 99-662 shall be derived from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, for one-half of the costs of construction and rehabilitation of inland waterways projects, including rehabilitation costs for the Lock and Dam 25, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri, Lock and Dam 14, Mississippi River, Iowa, and Lock and Dam 24, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri, projects, and of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

Red River Emergency Bank Protection, Arkansas, \$3,000,000;
San Timoteo Creek (Santa Ana River Mainstem), California, \$7,000,000;
Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, \$7,000,000;
Indiana Shoreline Erosion, Indiana, \$2,200,000;
Harlan (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$18,000,000;
Martine County (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$350,000;
Middlesboro (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$2,500,000;
Pike County (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$2,000,000;
Town of Martin (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$300,000;