

under section 124 of the District of Columbia Retirement Reform Act;

“(D) any reference to Federal benefit payments shall be deemed to include judges retirement pay, annuities, refunds and allowances under subchapter III of chapter 15 of title 11, District of Columbia Code;

“(E) any reference to the Trust Fund shall instead refer to the District of Columbia Judicial Retirement and Survivors Annuity Fund established under section 11-1570, District of Columbia Code;

“(F) any reference to section 11033 shall instead refer to section 124 of the District of Columbia Retirement Reform Act, as amended by section 11252; and

“(G) any reference to chapter 2 shall instead refer to section 11-1570, District of Columbia Code.

“(2) In applying section 11023—

“(A) any reference to the contract shall instead refer to the agreement referred to in section 11-1570(b), District of Columbia Code; and

“(B) any reference to the Trustee shall instead refer to the Trustee or contractor referred to in section 11-1570(b), District of Columbia Code.

“(3) In applying section 11033(d)—

“(A) any reference to this section shall instead refer to section 124 of the District of Columbia Retirement Reform Act, as amended by section 11252; and

“(B) any reference to the Trustee shall instead refer to the Secretary or the Trustee or contractor referred to in section 11-1570(b), District of Columbia Code.

“(4) In applying section 11041(b), any reference to the Trustee shall instead refer to the Trustee or contractor referred to in section 11-1570(b), District of Columbia Code.”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The provisions of subsection (c) shall take effect on the date on which the assets of the District of Columbia Judges Retirement Fund are transferred to the District of Columbia Judicial Retirement and Survivors Annuity Fund.”.

(e) MISCELLANEOUS TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—(1) Sections 11-1568(d) and 11-1569, District of Columbia Code, are each amended by striking “Mayor” each place it appears and inserting “Secretary of the Treasury”.

(2) Section 11-1568.2, District of Columbia Code, is amended by striking “Mayor of the District of Columbia” each place it appears and inserting “Secretary of the Treasury”.

(3) Section 121(b)(1)(A) of the District of Columbia Retirement Reform Act (D.C. Code, sec. 1-711(b)(1)(A)), as amended by section 11252(c)(1) of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (as redesignated by subsection (d)(1)), is amended in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “11” and inserting “12”.

(4) Section 11-1561(4), District of Columbia Code, as amended by section 11253(b) of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, is amended by striking “sections” and inserting “section”.

(5) Section 11253(c) of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (Public Law 105-33; 111 Stat. 759) is amended to read as follows:

“(c) TREATMENT OF FEDERAL SERVICE OF JUDGES.—Section

11-1564, District of Columbia Code, is amended—

“(1) in subsection (d)(2)(A), by striking “section 1-1814” and inserting “section 1-714) or the District of Columbia Judicial Retirement and Survivors Annuity Fund (established by section 11-1570); and

“(2) in subsection (d)(4), by striking “Judges Retirement Fund established by section 124(a) of the District of Columbia Retirement Reform Act” and inserting “Judicial Retirement and Survivors Annuity Fund under section 11-1570.”.

(6) Section 11253 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (Public Law 105-33; 111 Stat. 759) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) REDEPOSITS TO FUND.—Section 11-1568.1(4)(A), District of Columbia Code, is amended by striking “Judges Retirement Fund” and inserting “Judicial Retirement and Survivors Annuity Fund”.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsections (a)(2), (a)(4), and (a)(6) shall take effect October 1, 1998.

SEC. 805. EFFECTIVE DATE.

Except as otherwise specifically provided, this title and the amendments made by this title shall take effect as if included in the enactment of title XI of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997.

This Act may be cited as the “Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, 1999”.

And the Senate agree to the same.

JIM KOLBE,
FRANK WOLF,
ERNEST ISTOOK, JR.,
ANNE M. NORTHUP,
ROBERT B. ADERHOLT,
BOB LIVINGSTON,
JOSEPH MCDADE,

Managers on the Part of House.

BEN NIGHTHORSE
CAMPBELL,
RICHARD SHELBY,
LAUCH FAIRCLOTH,
TED STEVENS,
ROBERT C. BYRD,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

When said conference report was considered.

After debate,

On motion of Mr. KOLBE, the previous question was ordered on the conference report to its adoption or rejection.

Mr. HOYER moved to recommit the conference report on H.R. 4104 to the committee of conference with instructions to the managers on the part of the House to insist on section 624 of H.R. 4104 dealing with contraceptive prescription coverage under the Federal Health Benefit Plan.

By unanimous consent, the previous question was ordered on the motion to recommit with instructions.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House recommit said conference report?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. GUTKNECHT, announced that the nays had it.

Mr. HOYER demanded that the vote be taken by the yeas and nays, which demand was supported by one-fifth of the Members present, so the yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. GUTKNECHT, by unanimous consent, announced that further proceedings on the motion were postponed.

¶104.24 MULTICHANNEL VIDEO COMPETITION

Mr. TAUZIN moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2921) to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to require the Federal Communications Commission to conduct an inquiry into the impediments to the development of competition in the market for multi-channel video programming distribution; as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. GUTKNECHT, recognized Mr. TAUZIN and Mr. MARKEY, each for 20 minutes.

After debate,

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House suspend the rules and pass said bill, as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. GUTKNECHT, announced that two-thirds of the Members present had voted in the affirmative.

So, two-thirds of the Members present having voted in favor thereof, the rules were suspended and said bill, as amended, was passed.

By unanimous consent, the title was amended so as to read: “An Act to promote the competitive viability of direct-to-home satellite television service.”.

A motion to reconsider the votes whereby the rules were suspended and said bill, as amended, was passed and the title was amended was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

Ordered, That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said bill.

¶104.25 CORPORAL HAROLD GOMEZ POST OFFICE

Mr. MCHUGH moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4616) to designate the United States Post Office located at 3813 Main Street in East Chicago, Indiana, as the “Corporal Harold Gomez Post Office”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. GUTKNECHT, recognized Mr. MCHUGH and Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, each for 20 minutes.

After debate,

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House suspend the rules and pass said bill?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. GUTKNECHT, announced that two-thirds of the Members present had voted in the affirmative.

Mr. MCHUGH demanded that the vote be taken by the yeas and nays, which demand was supported by one-fifth of the Members present, so the yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. GUTKNECHT, pursuant to clause 5, rule I, announced that further proceedings on the motion were postponed.

¶104.26 MERVYN DYMALLY POST OFFICE

Mr. MCHUGH moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2348) to redesignate the Federal building located at 701 South Santa Fe Avenue in Compton, California, and known as the Compton Main Post Office, as the “Mervyn Dymally Post Office Building”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. GUTKNECHT, recognized Mr. MCHUGH and Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, each for 20 minutes.

After debate,

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House suspend the rules and pass said bill?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. GUTKNECHT, announced that two-thirds of the Members present had voted in the affirmative.

Mr. MCHUGH demanded that the vote be taken by the yeas and nays, which demand was supported by one-fifth of the Members present, so the yeas and nays were ordered.