

Resolved, That the House of Representatives—

(1) expresses the deep condolences of the House of Representatives and the American people to the families of all persons killed or injured in the bombing;

(2) expresses our dismay for the mayhem and destruction visited upon the Governments and people of Kenya and Tanzania;

(3) expresses gratitude to the people and the Governments of Kenya and Tanzania for their assistance to the people and the property of the United States in the aftermath of the bombings;

(4) expresses our gratitude to the United States Embassy guards whose quick thinking and heroic actions prevented even more deaths and injuries;

(5) expresses our gratitude to the people and the Governments of Israel, France, the United Kingdom, Germany, Japan, Australia, and South Africa, as well as the many private organizations which volunteered to assist the United States in the aftermath of the bombings;

(6) expresses our gratitude to United States personnel for their dedication in serving abroad and promoting United States interests and courageously assuming the risks of living and working overseas;

(7) expresses our gratitude to United States Federal and local agencies which assisted in the aftermath of the bombings;

(8) expresses our condemnation of all persons and parties involved in the outrageous and illegal attacks which resulted in the tragic loss of life of so many Americans, Kenyans, Tanzanians, and others;

(9) expresses the determination of the House of Representatives to assist, in any way possible, in the arrest of all persons responsible for these attacks; and

(10) expresses the intention of the House of Representatives to examine whether security needs of United States facilities overseas are being met and what kinds of tools can be employed to discourage nations from harboring terrorists.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mrs. EMERSON, recognized Mr. GILMAN and Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, each for 20 minutes.

After debate,

The question being put, *viva voce*,

Will the House suspend the rules and agree to said resolution, as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mrs. EMERSON, announced that two-thirds of the Members present had voted in the affirmative.

So, two-thirds of the Members present having voted in favor thereof, the rules were suspended and said resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby the rules were suspended and said resolution, as amended, was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

¶107.52 ALTERNATE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Mr. COBLE moved to suspend the rules and agree to the following amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3528) to amend title 28, United States Code, with respect to the use of alternative dispute resolution processes in the United States district courts, and for other purposes:

Page 2, after line 3, insert:

“SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND DECLARATION OF POLICY.

“Congress finds that—

“(1) alternative dispute resolution, when supported by the bench and bar, and utilizing properly trained neutrals in a program adequately administered by the court, has the potential to provide a variety of benefits, including greater satisfaction of the parties, innovative methods of resolving disputes, and greater efficiency in achieving settlements;

“(2) certain forms of alternative dispute resolution, including mediation, early neutral evaluation, minitrials, and voluntary arbitration, may have potential to reduce the large backlog of cases now pending in some federal courts throughout the United States, thereby allowing the courts to process their remaining cases more efficiently; and

“(3) the continued growth of Federal appellate court-annexed mediation programs suggests that this form of alternative dispute resolution can be equally effective in resolving disputes in the federal trial courts; therefore, the district courts should consider including mediation in their local alternative dispute resolution programs.”

Page 2, line 4, strike out “**SEC. 2**” and insert: “**SEC. 3**”

Page 2, line 21, strike out “2071(b)” and insert: “2071(a)”

Page 3, line 1, strike out “2071(b)” and insert: “2071(a)”

Page 4, line 5, strike out “**SEC. 3**” and insert: “**SEC. 4**”

Page 4, line 13, strike out “2071(b)” and insert: “2071(a)”

Page 5, line 18, strike out “2071(b)” and insert: “2071(a)”

Page 5, line 22, strike out “**SEC. 4**” and insert: “**SEC. 5**”

Page 6, line 21, strike out “2071(b)” and insert: “2071(a)”

Page 7, line 1, strike out “**SEC. 5**” and insert: “**SEC. 6**”

Page 7, line 7, strike out “subsections (b) and (c)” and insert: “subsections (a), (b), and (c)”

Page 7, line 11, after “it” insert: “when the parties consent”

Page 7, line 24, strike out “2071(b)” and insert: “2071(a)”

Page 8, line 9, strike out “section” and insert: “chapter”

Page 8, line 10, strike out “action” and insert: “program”

Page 8, line 11, strike out “section 906” and insert: “title IX”

Page 8, line 12, strike out “100-102” and insert: “100-702”

Page 8, line 13, strike out “as in effect prior to the date of its repeal” and insert: “as amended by section 1 of Public Law 105-53”

Page 8, line 14, strike out “**SEC. 6**” and insert: “**SEC. 7**”

Page 9, line 16, strike out “**SEC. 7**” and insert: “**SEC. 8**”

Page 10, line 1, strike out “**SEC. 8**” and insert: “**SEC. 9**”

Page 10, line 21, strike out “2071(b)” and insert: “2071(a)”

Page 11, line 22, strike out “**SEC. 9**” and insert: “**SEC. 10**”

Page 12, line 10, after “arbitrators” insert: “and other neutrals”

Page 12, line 13, strike out “**SEC. 10**” and insert: “**SEC. 11**”

Page 12, line 18, strike out “**SEC. 11**” and insert: “**SEC. 12**”

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mrs. EMERSON, recognized Mr. COBLE and Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, each for 20 minutes.

After debate,

The question being put, *viva voce*,

Will the House suspend the rules and agree to said amendments?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mrs. EMERSON, announced that two-thirds

of the Members present had voted in the affirmative.

So, two-thirds of the Members present having voted in favor thereof, the rules were suspended and said amendments of the Senate were agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby the rules were suspended and said amendments of the Senate were agreed to was passed was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

Ordered, That the Clerk notify the Senate thereof.

¶107.53 POLICE, FIRE, AND EMERGENCY OFFICERS EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE

Mr. COBLE moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3046) to provide for financial assistance for higher education to the dependents of Federal, State, and local public safety officers who are killed or permanently and totally disabled as the result of a traumatic injury sustained in the line of duty; as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mrs. EMERSON, recognized Mr. COBLE and Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, each for 20 minutes.

After debate,

The question being put, *viva voce*,

Will the House suspend the rules and pass said bill, as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mrs. EMERSON, announced that two-thirds of the Members present had voted in the affirmative.

So, two-thirds of the Members present having voted in favor thereof, the rules were suspended and said bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider the votes whereby the rules were suspended and said bill, as amended, was passed was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

Ordered, That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said bill.

¶107.54 PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICERS EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE

On motion of Mr. COBLE, by unanimous consent, the Committee on the Judiciary was discharged from further consideration of the bill of the Senate (S. 1525) to provide financial assistance for higher education to the dependents of Federal, State, and local public safety officers who are killed or permanently and totally disabled as the result of a traumatic injury sustained in the line of duty.

When said bill was considered, read twice.

Mr. COBLE submitted the following amendment which was agreed to:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the provisions of H.R. 3046, as passed by the House.

When said bill, as amended, was considered, read twice, ordered to be read a third time, was read a third time by title, and passed.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby the bill, as amended, was passed was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.