Congress’ own view that in the six circumstances enumerated, our foreign policy is better served by admitting these individuals, but ultimately leaving this determination to the Secretary of State under section 212 of the INA. It is in this manner, consistent with the Constitution, that I intend for this statute to be construed.

Accordingly, I am hereby directing the Secretary of State to weigh particularly carefully the foreign policy interests of the United States in considering any application by Taiwan’s leaders to visit the United States in the six designated circumstances. These interests include maintaining the present peaceful conditions and robust economic climate in the Taiwan Strait region and the successful balance struck between our unofficial relations with Taiwan and our relations with the People’s Republic of China.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON

The White House,
October 25, 1994.

NOTE: H.R. 783, approved October 25, was assigned Public Law No. 103–416.

Statement on Signing the Veterans’ Compensation Cost-of-Living Adjustment Act of 1994

October 25, 1994

Today, I have signed into law S. 1927, the “Veterans’ Compensation Cost-of-Living Adjustment Act of 1994.”

In signing S. 1927, I am pleased to extend a most deserved benefit to our Nation’s service-disabled veterans and the surviving spouses and children of those who gave their lives in defense of our beloved freedoms. This Act not only maintains the value of benefits so dearly earned, but underscores a debt of gratitude that we can never fully repay.

S. 1927 provides a 2.8 percent increase in compensation and dependency and indemnity compensation benefits, effective December 1, 1994. This is the same percentage increase that Social Security beneficiaries and veterans’ pension recipients will be receiving in January.

I salute the more than two and one-half million individuals who will directly benefit from this increase and all veterans and their families. Moreover, to those proud men and women still in uniform, our actions today bear witness to our Nation’s commitment to you for your contributions to our security and well-being.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON

The White House,
October 25, 1994.

NOTE: S. 1927, approved October 25, was assigned Public Law No. 103–418.

Letter to Congressional Leaders on Additional Measures Against the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro)

October 25, 1994

Dear Mr. Speaker: (Dear Mr. President:)


On June 5, 1992, pursuant to the above authorities, as well as section 1114 of the Federal Aviation Act (49 U.S.C. App. 1514), and section
1871

of the United Nations Participation Act of 1945, as amended (UNPA), (22 U.S.C. 287c), the President exercised his statutory authority to issue Executive Order No. 12810, blocking property of, and prohibiting transactions with, the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro). This latter action was taken to ensure that the economic measures taken by the United States with respect to the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro) conform to United Nations Security Council Resolution 757 of May 30, 1992.

On January 15, 1993, President Bush exercised his statutory authority under IEEPA, the UNPA, and the NEA to issue Executive Order No. 12831 to impose additional economic measures with respect to the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro) in accordance with United Nations Security Council Resolutions 757 of May 30, 1992, and 787 of November 16, 1992. Those additional measures prohibited transactions related to transshipments through the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro), as well as transactions related to vessels owned or controlled by persons or entities in the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro).

On April 25, 1993, I exercised my statutory authority under IEEPA, the UNPA, and the NEA to issue Executive Order No. 12846 to impose additional economic measures with respect to the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro) in accordance with United Nations Security Council Resolutions 757 of May 30, 1992, 787 of November 16, 1992, and 820 of April 17, 1993. These additional measures blocked the property of businesses in the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia; charged owners or operators of property blocked under Executive Orders Nos. 12808, 12810, 12831, or 12846 all expenses incident to the blocking and maintenance of such property; ordered the detention; pending investigation, of all nonblocked vessels suspected of violating United Nations Security Council Resolutions 713, 757, 787, or 820 and the blocking of such conveyances or cargos if a violation is determined to have been committed; prohibited any vessel registered in the United States from entering the territorial waters of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia; and prohibited United States persons from engaging in any dealings relating to the shipment of goods to, from, or through the United Nations Protected Areas in the Republic of Croatia and areas in the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina under the control of Bosnian Serb forces.

On September 23, 1994, the United Nations Security Council adopted Resolution 942, which requires the imposition of additional measures on the areas of the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina under the control of the Bosnian Serb forces due to their refusal to accept the proposed territorial settlement of the conflict in the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina.

On October 25, 1994, pursuant to the above authorities, I expanded the scope of the national emergency declared in Executive Order No. 12808 to address the unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security, foreign policy and economy of the United States posed by the actions of the Bosnian Serb forces and the authorities in the areas of the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina under their control and imposed additional measures in those areas to conform to United Nations Security Council Resolution 942 (1994).

The new Executive order:
—blocks all property and interests in property of (and transactions with): (1) the Bosnian Serb forces and authorities in those areas of the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina under the control of those forces; (2) any entity organized or located in the Bosnian Serb-controlled areas; (3) any entity, wherever organized or located, which is owned or controlled directly or indirectly by any person in, or resident in, the Bosnian Serb-controlled areas; or (4) any person acting for or on behalf of any person mentioned above;
—prohibits the exportation or provision of services by United States persons to Bosnian Serb-controlled areas or to any person for the purposes of any business carried out in those areas;
—prohibits vessels registered in the United States or owned or controlled by United States persons from entering the riverine ports of the Bosnian Serb-controlled areas; and
—prohibits any transaction that evades or avoids or has the purpose of evading or avoiding, or attempts to violate, any of the prohibitions of the order.

In addition, this order authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to take such actions and to
employ all powers granted to me by the International Emergency Economic Powers Act and
the United Nations Participation Act as may be
necessary to carry out the purposes of the order,
including the issuance of licenses authorizing
transactions otherwise prohibited. The sanctions
imposed in the order apply notwithstanding any
preexisting contracts, international agreements,
licenses or authorizations.

The new Executive order is necessary to con-
firm our commitment to a negotiated settlement
of the conflict in the former Yugoslavia that
preserves the territorial integrity of all the states
there within their internationally recognized bor-
ders; to respond to the continued refusal of the
Bosnian Serb party to accept the proposed terri-
torial settlement accepted by the other parties;
and to implement mandatory United Nations Se-
curity Council measures imposed on the Bosnian
Serbs under Chapter VII of the Charter of the
United Nations in order to urge them to accept
the proposed territorial settlement uncondition-
ally and in full.

The declaration of the national emergency
made by Executive Order No. 12808 and the
controls imposed under Executive Orders Nos.
12810, 12831, and 12846, and any other provi-
sions of those orders, to the extent that they
are not modified by or inconsistent with this
new order, remain in full force and are unaf-
fected by this order.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM J. CLINTON

NOTE: Identical letters were sent to Thomas S.
Foley, Speaker of the House of Representatives,
and Albert Gore, Jr., President of the Senate. The
Executive order and the related proclamation of
October 25 on immigration measures with respect
to U.N. Security Council Resolution 942 are listed
in Appendix D at the end of this volume.

Statement on Technology Reinvestment Awards
October 25, 1994

Today, commercial firms are the source of
many of the advanced technologies that are
needed to keep our military the most powerful
in the world. The winning projects I am an-
ouncing link commercial industry and defense
needs to keep America strong, militarily and
economically.

NOTE: This statement was included in a White
House statement announcing the winners of Fed-
eral matching grants from the Technology Rein-
vestment Project.

Statement on Signing the Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act
of 1994
October 25, 1994

Today I am pleased to sign S. 784, the “Die-
etary Supplement Health and Education Act of
1994.” After several years of intense efforts,
manufacturers, experts in nutrition, and legisla-
tors, acting in a conscientious alliance with con-
sumers at the grassroots level, have moved suc-
cessfully to bring common sense to the treat-
ment of dietary supplements under regulation
and law.

More often than not, the Government has
been their ally. And the private market has re-
sponded to this development with the manufac-
ture of an increasing variety of safe supplements.
But in recent years, the regulatory scheme
designed to promote the interests of consumers
and a healthful supply of good food has been
used instead to complicate choices consumers
have made to advance their nutritional and die-
tary goals. With perhaps the best of intentions