them, and the measures imposed by that order, as expanded by Executive Order 13094 of July 28, 1998.

This order is designed to combat WMD trafficking by blocking the property of persons that engage in proliferation activities and their support networks. It is intended to advance international cooperative efforts against WMD financing, including with our G-8 partners and through the Proliferation Security Initiative. This order also provides a model for other nations to follow in adopting laws to stem the flow of financial and other support for proliferation activities, as decided in United Nations Security Council Resolution 1540. It further implements a key recommendation of the Silberman-Robb WMD Commission.

Executive Order 12938, as amended, authorizes the Secretary of State to impose certain measures against foreign persons (individuals or entities) determined to have materially contributed to the proliferation efforts of any foreign country, project, or entity of proliferation concern. The measures that the Secretary of State may choose to impose under Executive Order 12938, as amended, are a ban on U.S. Government procurement from the designated foreign person; a ban on U.S. Government assistance to the designated foreign person; and a ban on imports from the designated foreign person.

Recognizing the need for additional tools to defeat the proliferation of WMD, I have signed the new order, which authorizes the imposition of a new measure—blocking—against WMD proliferators and their support networks. This action, sometimes referred to as freezing, will apply to property and interests in property of persons designated under the order and will deny such persons access to the U.S. financial and commercial systems. Modeled after Executive Order 13224 of September 23, 2001, the new order provides broad new authorities to target not only persons engaged in proliferation activities, but also those providing support or services to such proliferators.

In particular, the order blocks the property and interests in property in the United States, or in the possession or control of United States persons, of (1) the persons listed in the Annex to the order; (2) any foreign person determined by the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, the Attorney General, and other relevant agencies, to have engaged, or attempted to engage, in activities or transactions that have materially contributed to, or pose a risk of materially contributing to, the proliferation of WMD or their means of delivery (including missiles capable of delivering such weapons) by any person or foreign country of proliferation concern; (3) any person determined by the Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the Secretary of State, the Attorney General, and other relevant agencies, to have provided, or attempted to provide, financial, material, technological, or other support for, or goods or services in support of, proliferation-related activities or any person blocked pursuant to the order; and (4) any person determined by the Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the Secretary of State, the Attorney General, and other relevant agencies, to be owned or controlled by, or acting or purporting to act for or on behalf of, directly or indirectly, any blocked person.

In addition, the order amends section 4(a) of Executive Order 12938, as amended, by conforming the criteria for determining that a foreign person has engaged in activity described in that order to the criteria for designations by the Secretary of State set forth in section 1(a)(ii) of the new order. Executive Order 12938, as amended, will continue to be an important tool to combat WMD proliferation.

Actions taken under the order become effective on June 29, 2005. The new order recognizes the need for more robust tools to defeat the proliferation of WMD around
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the world. The steps that we are undertaking in this new order form yet another part of our evolving response to this challenge.

GEORGE W. BUSH

The White House,
June 28, 2005.

NOTE: This message was released by the Office of the Press Secretary on June 30. The Executive order of June 28 is listed in Appendix D at the end of this volume.

Interview With the London Times
June 29, 2005

The President. Looking forward to the G–8. First of all, I enjoy the experience of working with leaders. You can imagine my respect for Tony Blair. I’m fond of Tony Blair. I like being around him. It’s an enjoyable experience. I like to be with all the leaders. I find it to be a heady experience, and it energizes me.

Secondly, I’m looking forward to the topics. There will be discussions other than the well-known topics. Hopefully, discuss Palestinian peace—or the Middle Eastern peace and a Palestinian state. Hopefully, we’ll talk the freedom agenda—I think we will. I know we’re going to talk about Africa, and I look forward to talking about Africa. We’ve got a great record in Africa, and the reason we’ve got a great record in Africa is that I believe in the admonition, “To whom much has been given, much is required.” And I can’t wait to share ideas about what we can do going forward.

I’m looking forward to the discussion on climate. You know, this is an opportunity to take the world—the dialog that the world watches beyond Kyoto. I fully recognize my decision in Kyoto was unpopular. I had a reason for doing so, and I’ve explained it for now 3 or 4 years as to why. But there’s a lot we can do together. And we’ve got a good record, and we’ve got some important things to share. We’re spending a lot of money on research and development. We’ve got a strategy to move forward, and at this moment, it is important to bring the developing countries into the dialog.

And Tony Blair did a smart thing by inviting developing countries. It will be a great opportunity to be able to discuss not only how we can be good stewards of the environment but how we can develop strategies to become less dependent on hydrocarbons and fossil fuels. And so I’m looking forward to it; I really am. I’m looking forward to getting back to Scotland, which is going to be a neat experience for me.

So let’s go around the horn a couple of times here.

U.S. Aid to Africa

Q. Okay. Can we pick up on Africa, then G–8?

The President. Please, yes.

Q. Billions of dollars flow out of the U.S. every year in trade and aid to the developing world. And that figure, as you mentioned, has risen significantly on your watch. But having said that, the U.S. Government still gives only .16 percent of its GDP to Africa. Is that enough? And have you got anything else to offer?

The President. We will have—we will make some more commitments. First of all, the way I like to describe our relationship with Africa is one of partnership. That’s different than a relationship of, you know, a check-writer. In other words, partnership means that we’ve got obligations and so do the people we’re trying to help—a sense
of working together. We have a partnership when it comes to African Growth and Opportunity Act, AGOA. It’s an aggressive trade pact that President Clinton started with Congress, and then I signed extensions to it. It’s working.

The truth of the matter is, when you really think about how to get wealth distributed, aid is one way, but it doesn’t compare to trade and commerce. And we’ve opened up markets, and we’re beginning to see a payoff of more commerce but, as well, the effects of commerce, entrepreneurship and small businesses.

My Millennium Challenge Account initiative is a new way of approaching how we work together in partnership to alleviate poverty and hunger. Listen, Americans want to deal with poverty and hunger and disease, but they don’t want their money being spent on governments that do not focus attention on health, education, markets, anticorruption devices. And I can’t in good faith say, “Let’s continue to be generous”—after all, you did mention tripling the money—but I can’t guarantee the money is being spent properly. That’s just not good stewardship of our own money, nor is it effective in helping the people.

And so the Millennium Challenge Account is an approach that I sponsored and strongly back. We’ve got to do a better job of getting the money out the door so Congress will continue to embrace the Millennium Challenge Account. In other words, we’ve got the programs going, but they’re slower than I want. And as a result, Congress is saying, “If this is such an important program, how come you’re not kicking the money out the door?” And I’m convinced once we get money going out the door and we can show tangible results, we’ll be able to fund a lot more programs.

Thirdly, our approach as well has been when we see disaster, let’s move it to help people. Recently, I announced a $674 million food package. I mean, I can proudly proclaim at the G-8 that the United States feeds more of the hungry than any nation in the world.

Fourthly, it is important for people to understand that the contribution of the citizens of the United States is made not only through taxpayers’ money but through private contributions. Our tax system encourages people to do this. So, you know, the calculation of whatever you said—point-oh-something of GDP—is one way to look at it. My point to our friends in the G-8 and to the African nations is, is that each country differs as to how we structure our taxes and how we contribute to help. And our contribution has been significant, and there will be some more.

Zimbabwe

Q. Mr. President, one country there is a lot of concern about, as you know, in Britain in particular, is Zimbabwe, which is headed by a brutal tyrant, frankly.

The President. Yes, he is.

Q. I’m glad you say that.

The President. I think I’ve called him that.

Q. Right.

The President. Better make sure—remember—I’m sorry to interrupt. The South African press was here with Mbeki, and they quoted back my words—I think I might have used those words, but go ahead.

Q. Well, first, he is, as you say——

The President. He’s a tyrant. He’s ruined a—a country that used to not only feed Africa, in other words, an exporter of food, they’re now an importer of food because of the decisions he has made.

Q. Should it be the responsibility of other African countries to do more to isolate that country? And should you make what they do a condition of rich countries, giving them aid? I mean, they do seem—they don’t seem to take this seriously.

The President. Yes, see, I think the programs that—I forgot to mention HIV/AIDS, by the way, a significant commitment. And the reason I just thought of