

Public Law 93-528

AN ACT

December 21, 1974
[S. 782]

To reform consent decree procedures, to increase penalties for violation of the Sherman Act, and to revise the Expediting Act as it pertains to Appellate Review.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That this Act may be cited as the "Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act".

Antitrust
Procedures and
Penalties Act.
15 USC 16
note.

CONSENT DECREE PROCEDURES

15 USC 16.

SEC. 2. Section 5 of the Act entitled "An Act to supplement existing laws against unlawful restraints and monopolies, and for other purposes", approved October 15, 1914 (15 U.S.C. 16), is amended by redesignating subsection (b) as (i) and by inserting immediately after subsection (a) the following:

"(b) Any proposal for a consent judgment submitted by the United States for entry in any civil proceeding brought by or on behalf of the United States under the antitrust laws shall be filed with the district court before which such proceeding is pending and published by the United States in the Federal Register at least 60 days prior to the effective date of such judgment. Any written comments relating to such proposal and any responses by the United States thereto, shall also be filed with such district court and published by the United States in the Federal Register within such sixty-day period. Copies of such proposal and any other materials and documents which the United States considered determinative in formulating such proposal, shall also be made available to the public at the district court and in such other districts as the court may subsequently direct. Simultaneously with the filing of such proposal, unless otherwise instructed by the court, the United States shall file with the district court, publish in the Federal Register, and thereafter furnish to any person upon request, a competitive impact statement which shall recite—

Publication
in Federal
Register.

Competitive
impact state-
ment, publi-
cation in
Federal Regis-
ter.

"(1) the nature and purpose of the proceeding;

"(2) a description of the practices or events giving rise to the alleged violation of the antitrust laws;

"(3) an explanation of the proposal for a consent judgment, including an explanation of any unusual circumstances giving rise to such proposal or any provision contained therein, relief to be obtained thereby, and the anticipated effects on competition of such relief;

"(4) the remedies available to potential private plaintiffs damaged by the alleged violation in the event that such proposal for the consent judgment is entered in such proceeding;

"(5) a description of the procedures available for modification of such proposal; and

"(6) a description and evaluation of alternatives to such proposal actually considered by the United States.

"(c) The United States shall also cause to be published, commencing at least 60 days prior to the effective date of the judgment described in subsection (b) of this section, for 7 days over a period of 2 weeks in newspapers of general circulation of the district in which the case has been filed, in the District of Columbia, and in such other districts as the court may direct—

"(i) a summary of the terms of the proposal for the consent judgment,

Publication
in newspapers.

“(ii) a summary of the competitive impact statement filed under subsection (b),

“(iii) and a list of the materials and documents under subsection (b) which the United States shall make available for purposes of meaningful public comment, and the place where such materials and documents are available for public inspection.

“(d) During the 60-day period as specified in subsection (b) of this section, and such additional time as the United States may request and the court may grant, the United States shall receive and consider any written comments relating to the proposal for the consent judgment submitted under subsection (b). The Attorney General or his designee shall establish procedures to carry out the provisions of this subsection, but such 60-day time period shall not be shortened except by order of the district court upon a showing that (1) extraordinary circumstances require such shortening and (2) such shortening is not adverse to the public interest. At the close of the period during which such comments may be received, the United States shall file with the district court and cause to be published in the Federal Register a response to such comments.

Comments.

Response,
publication in
Federal Register.

“(e) Before entering any consent judgment proposed by the United States under this section, the court shall determine that the entry of such judgment is in the public interest. For the purpose of such determination, the court may consider—

Public interest
determination.

“(1) the competitive impact of such judgment, including termination of alleged violations, provisions for enforcement and modification, duration or relief sought, anticipated effects of alternative remedies actually considered, and any other considerations bearing upon the adequacy of such judgment;

“(2) the impact of entry of such judgment upon the public generally and individuals alleging specific injury from the violations set forth in the complaint including consideration of the public benefit, if any, to be derived from a determination of the issues at trial.

“(f) In making its determination under subsection (e), the court may—

“(1) take testimony of Government officials or experts or such other expert witnesses, upon motion of any party or participant or upon its own motion, as the court may deem appropriate;

“(2) appoint a special master and such outside consultants or expert witnesses as the court may deem appropriate; and request and obtain the views, evaluations, or advice of any individual, group or agency of government with respect to any aspects of the proposed judgment or the effect of such judgment, in such manner as the court deems appropriate;

“(3) authorize full or limited participation in proceedings before the court by interested persons or agencies, including appearance amicus curiae, intervention as a party pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, examination of witnesses or documentary materials, or participation in any other manner and extent which serves the public interest as the court may deem appropriate.

28 USC app.

“(4) review any comments including any objections filed with the United States under subsection (d) concerning the proposed judgment and the responses of the United States to such comments and objections; and

Filing of
written or oral
communications.

“(5) take such other action in the public interest as the court may deem appropriate.

“(g) Not later than 10 days following the date of the filing of any proposal for a consent judgment under subsection (b), each defendant shall file with the district court a description of any and all written or oral communications by or on behalf of such defendant, including any and all written or oral communications on behalf of such defendant, or other person, with any officer or employee of the United States concerning or relevant to such proposal, except that any such communications made by counsel of record alone with the Attorney General or the employees of the Department of Justice alone shall be excluded from the requirements of this subsection. Prior to the entry of any consent judgment pursuant to the antitrust laws, each defendant shall certify to the district court that the requirements of this subsection have been complied with and that such filing is a true and complete description of such communications known to the defendant or which the defendant reasonably should have known.

“(h) Proceedings before the district court under subsections (e) and (f) of this section, and the competitive impact statement filed under subsection (b) of this section, shall not be admissible against any defendant in any action or proceeding brought by any other party against such defendant under the antitrust laws or by the United States under section 4A of this Act nor constitute a basis for the introduction of the consent judgment as prima facie evidence against such defendant in any such action or proceeding.”

PENALTIES

SEC. 3. Sections 1, 2, and 3 of the Act entitled “An Act to protect trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies”, approved July 2, 1890 (15 U.S.C. 1, 2, and 3), are each amended—

(1) by striking out “misdemeanor” whenever it appears and inserting in lieu thereof in each case “felony”;

(2) by striking out “fifty thousand dollars” whenever such phrase appears and inserting in lieu thereof in each case the following: “one million dollars if a corporation, or, if any other person, one hundred thousand dollars”; and

(3) by striking out “one year” whenever such phrase appears and inserting in lieu thereof in each case “three years”.

EXPEDITING ACT REVISIONS

SEC. 4. Section 1 of the Act of February 11, 1903 (32 Stat. 823), as amended (15 U.S.C. 28; 49 U.S.C. 44), commonly known as the Expediting Act, is amended to read as follows:

“SECTION 1. In any civil action brought in any district court of the United States under the Act entitled ‘An Act to protect trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies’, approved July 2, 1890, or any other Acts having like purpose that have been or hereafter may be enacted, wherein the United States is plaintiff and equitable relief is sought, the Attorney General may file with the court, prior to the entry of final judgment, a certificate that, in his opinion, the case is of a general public importance. Upon filing of such certificate, it shall be the duty of the judge designated to hear and

determine the case, or the chief judge of the district court if no judge has as yet been designated, to assign the case for hearing at the earliest practicable date and to cause the case to be in every way expedited.”

SEC. 5. Section 2 of that Act (15 U.S.C. 29; 49 U.S.C. 45) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) Except as otherwise expressly provided by this section, in every civil action brought in any district court of the United States under the Act entitled ‘An Act to protect trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies’, approved July 2, 1890, or any other Acts having like purpose that have been or hereafter may be enacted, in which the United States is the complainant and equitable relief is sought, any appeal from a final judgment entered in any such action shall be taken to the court of appeals pursuant to sections 1291 and 2107 of title 28 of the United States Code. Any appeal from an interlocutory order entered in any such action shall be taken to the court of appeals pursuant to sections 1292(a)(1) and 2107 of title 28 of the United States Code but not otherwise. Any judgment entered by the court of appeals in any such action shall be subject to review by the Supreme Court upon a writ of certiorari as provided in section 1254(1) of title 28 of the United States Code.

“(b) An appeal from a final judgment pursuant to subsection (a) shall lie directly to the Supreme Court if, upon application of a party filed within fifteen days of the filing of a notice of appeal, the district judge who adjudicated the case enters an order stating that immediate consideration of the appeal by the Supreme Court is of general public importance in the administration of justice. Such order shall be filed within thirty days after the filing of a notice of appeal. When such an order is filed, the appeal and any cross appeal shall be docketed in the time and manner prescribed by the rules of the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court shall thereupon either (1) dispose of the appeal and any cross appeal in the same manner as any other direct appeal authorized by law, or (2) in its discretion, deny the direct appeal and remand the case to the court of appeals, which shall then have jurisdiction to hear and determine the same as if the appeal and any cross appeal therein had been docketed in the court of appeals in the first instance pursuant to subsection (a).”

SEC. 6. (a) Section 401(d) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 401(d)) is repealed.

(b) Section 3 of the Act entitled “An Act to further regulate commerce with foreign nations and among the States”, approved February 19, 1903 (32 Stat. 849; 49 U.S.C. 43), is amended by striking out “proceeding:” and inserting in lieu thereof “proceeding.” and striking out thereafter the following: “*Provided*, That the provisions of an Act entitled ‘An Act to expedite the hearing and determination of suits in equity pending or thereafter brought under the Act of July second, eighteen hundred and ninety, entitled “An Act to protect trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies,” “An Act to regulate commerce,” approved February fourth, eighteen hundred and eighty-seven, or any other Acts having a like purpose that may be hereafter enacted, approved February eleventh, nineteen hundred and three,’ shall apply to any case prosecuted under the direction of the Attorney-General in the name of the Interstate Commerce Commission”.

Appeals.

Supreme Court review.

Appeal.

Notice.

Repeal.

Tariff enforcement.

Nonapplicabil-
ity.
15 USC 29
note.

SEC. 7. The amendment made by section 5 of this Act shall not apply to an action in which a notice of appeal to the Supreme Court has been filed on or before the fifteenth day following the date of enactment of this Act. Appeal in any such action shall be taken pursuant to the provisions of section 2 of the Act of February 11, 1903 (32 Stat. 823), as amended (15 U.S.C. 29; 49 U.S.C. 45) which were in effect on the day preceding the date of enactment of this Act.

Approved December 21, 1974.

Public Law 93-529

December 21, 1974
[H. R. 17505]

AN ACT

To rescind certain budget authority recommended in the messages of the President of September 20, 1974 (H. Doc. 93-361), October 4, 1974 (H. Doc. 93-365) and November 13, 1974 (H. Doc. 93-387), transmitted pursuant to section 1012 of the Impoundment Control Act of 1974.

Certain budget
authority.
Rescission.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the following rescissions of budget authority contained in the messages of the President of September 20, 1974 (H. Doc. 93-361), October 4, 1974 (H. Doc. 93-365) and November 13, 1974 (H. Doc. 93-387) are made pursuant to section 1012 of the Impoundment Control Act of 1974, namely:

Ante, p. 333.

CHAPTER I

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN
DEVELOPMENT

COLLEGE HOUSING

The limitation otherwise applicable to the total payments that may be required in any fiscal year by all contracts entered into under title IV of the Housing Act of 1950, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1749 et seq.), is hereby reduced by the uncommitted balances of authorizations heretofore provided for this purpose in appropriation acts.

CHAPTER II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

84 Stat. 1715.

Contract authority provided for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1973 by section 105(a)(8) of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1970 (Public Law 91-605) for "Public lands development roads and trails" is rescinded in the amount of \$4,891,000.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

84 Stat. 1716.

Contract authority provided for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1973 by section 105(a)(10) of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1970 (Public Law 91-605) for "Parkways" is rescinded in the amount of \$10,461,000.