

other matters would require more time to prepare for trial. The defendant confirmed in his motion that “[f]urther investigation is necessary to complete preparation for the trial”. There is only one defendant in this case, and the government does not object to a continuance. Moreover, the defendant has waived his right to a Speedy Trial under the Act. The court therefore concludes that the ends of justice served by continuing this case outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion for continuance filed by the defendant be GRANTED and that this cause be set on the 5 June 2006 trial term.

DONE this 11th day of January, 2006.

/s/ Vanzetta Penn McPherson
VANZETTA PENN MCPHERSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE