

oppose a continuance. Consequently, the court concludes that a continuance of this case is warranted and that the ends of justice served by continuing this case outweighs the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. *See United States v. Davenport*, 935 F.2d 1223, 1235 (11th Cir. 1991)(reasonable time necessary for effective preparation is a significant factor for granting a continuance under the Speedy Trial Act).

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED:

1. That the defendant's motion filed on January 2, 2008 is GRANTED;
2. That the trial of this case is continued from the January 14, 2008 trial term to the April 7, 2008 trial term in Montgomery, Alabama.
3. That the Magistrate Judge conduct a pretrial conference prior to the April 7, 2008 trial term.

DONE this the 7th day of January, 2008.

/s/ Mark E. Fuller
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE