

May 13, 2011

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

Elisabeth A. Shumaker
Clerk of Court

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

JERRY L. MASKE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

COLORADO MENTAL HEALTH
INSTITUTE AT PUEBLO,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 11-1173
(D.C. No. 1:11-CV-00798-LTB)

JERRY MASKE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
PROBATION DEPARTMENT,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 11-1174
(D.C. No. 1:11-CV-00799-LTB)

JERRY MASKE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

ARAPAHOE COUNTY DISTRICT
ATTORNEY OFFICE,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 11-1175
(D.C. No. 1:11-CV-00800-LTB)

JERRY L. MASKE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

DISTRICT COURT, ARAPAHOE
COUNTY, COLORADO,

Defendant - Appellee.

JERRY L. MASKE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

FBI DENVER,

Defendant - Appellee.

JERRY L. MASKE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

DENVER COUNTY COURT,

Defendant - Appellee.

JERRY MASKE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CITY OF AURORA,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 11-1176
(D.C. No. 1:11-CV-00781-LTB)

No. 11-1177
(D.C. No. 1:11-CV-00786-LTB)

No. 11-1178
(D.C. No. 1:11-CV-00787-LTB)

No. 11-1179
(D.C. No. 1:11-CV-00782-LTB)

ORDER


Plaintiff Jerry Maske, proceeding *pro se*, filed several appeals challenging the dismissal of his cases in the district court for the District of Colorado.

Pursuant to filing restrictions, *see Maske v. Murphy*, No. 09-1536, 365 F. App'x 938 (10th Cir. Feb. 16, 2010) (unpublished), Plaintiff Maske can not proceed *pro se* in this court unless he first obtains leave to do so. In an April 25, 2001 Order, this court directed Plaintiff to follow the procedures set forth in *Maske v. Murphy* for obtaining leave to proceed *pro se* in each of his appeals. The order warned Plaintiff that any defect in compliance with the 2010 filing-restriction requirements would result in dismissal of his appeals, without further notice. A thorough review of Plaintiff's responses to the April 25, 2010 Order reveals that Plaintiff has not complied with the 2010 filing-restriction requirements. *Id.* 365 F. App'x at 941.

Accordingly, these appeals are **DISMISSED** for failure to prosecute. *See* 10th Cir. R. 42.1.

A copy of this order shall stand as and for the mandate of this court.

Entered for the Court,



Elisabeth A. Shumaker, Clerk