

FILED

United States Court of Appeals
Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

June 13, 2014

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

Elisabeth A. Shumaker
Clerk of Court

KHALID MOHAMMAD,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

No. 14-2098
(D.C. No. 1:14-CV-00409-WJ-KBM)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
JUSTICE; SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES; UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH
CIRCUIT; DEPARTMENT OF LABOR;
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HEALTH
ADMINISTRATION; AMERICAN BAR
ASSOCIATION; STATE OF NEW
MEXICO; NEW MEXICO SUPREME
COURT; STATE BAR OF NEW
MEXICO; OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT
ATTORNEY; PUBLIC DEFENDER
DEPARTMENT; LAW OFFICES OF THE
PUBLIC DEFENDER; OCCUPATIONAL
HEALTH & SAFETY REVIEW
COMMISSION; COUNTY OF
BERNALILLO; CITY OF
ALBUQUERQUE; METROPOLITAN
DETENTION CENTER;
ALBUQUERQUE POLICE
DEPARTMENT; COURT OF APPEALS;
SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT;
FNU DOE, AG-USA; FNU-DOE, AG-
USDOJ; FNU DOE, DOL-US; FNU DOE,
OSHA-US; FNU DOE, ABA-US; FNU
DOE, SCUS; FNU DOE, USCA-10; FNU
DOE, AG-NM; FNU DOE, ODA-NM;
STEPHEN P. OCHOA; JASON
YAMATO; FNU DOE, OHSRC-NM; FNU
DOE, SB-NM; FNU DOE, MGR-COB;
FNU DOE, MYR-COA; FNU DOE, PDD-

NM; FNU DOE, MDC; FNU DOE, PDD-ABQ; FNU DOE, NMSC; FNU DOE, CA; FNU DOE, SJDC; FNU DOE, Judge; KENNETH MARTINEZ; JAMIE FLORES; FNU DOE, Counsel; FNU DOE, APD-NM; FNU DOE, Officer,

Defendants - Appellees.

ORDER

Before **KELLY, HARTZ**, and **O'BRIEN**, Circuit Judges.

We raise *sua sponte* the question of whether this court has jurisdiction to consider this appeal. Pro se plaintiff Khalid Mohammad appeals the district court's May 20, 2014 Memorandum Opinion and Order granting *in forma pauperis* status, dismissing some claims against some parties and providing the plaintiff an opportunity to amend his complaint, and proposing to enter filing restrictions applicable to the plaintiff's future litigation. No final judgment has been entered, and the district court proceedings are ongoing.

This court has jurisdiction to review only final decisions of district courts, 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and specific types of interlocutory orders not applicable here. A final decision is one that fully terminates all matters as to all parties and causes of action and leaves nothing for the district court to do but execute the judgment. *Quackenbush v. Allstate Ins. Co.*, 517 U.S. 706, 712 (1996); *Harolds Stores, Inc. v. Dillard Dep't Stores, Inc.*, 82 F.3d 1533, 1541 (10th Cir. 1996). The district court's May 20, 2014

Memorandum Opinion and Order is not a final decision. Therefore, we lack jurisdiction to consider the appeal at this time.

Appeal dismissed.

Entered for the Court
ELISABETH A. SHUMAKER, Clerk

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Lara Smith".

by: Lara Smith
Counsel to the Clerk