

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 13-1199

SHAWN BROWN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

UNKNOWN OFFICERS OF THE PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY POLICE
DEPARTMENT; OFFICER ZACHARY O'LARE; OFFICER ANTHONY KING;
SGT. ERIC BROWN,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MD; ROBBIE LOVEDAY; CPL DARIN BUSH;
RODNEY LEWIS; CPL. TYRONE SAVAGE; OFFICER BEAU JARVIS;
OFFICER ANTONIO SAVOY; SGT. VONDELL SMITH,

Defendants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of
Maryland, at Greenbelt. Deborah K. Chasanow, Chief District
Judge. (8:07-cv-02591-DKC)

Submitted: January 30, 2014

Decided: February 4, 2014

Before KING, GREGORY, and DAVIS, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Shawn Brown, Appellant Pro Se. Tonia Yvetta Belton Gofreed,
PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY OFFICE OF LAW, Upper Marlboro, Maryland,
for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Shawn Brown appeals the district court's order entered in accordance with the jury's verdict finding for the Defendants in Brown's 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) action alleging excessive use of force.

We will reverse a jury's verdict only when there is a complete absence of probative facts to support the jury's conclusions. Sherrill White Constr., Inc. v. South Carolina Nat'l Bank, 713 F.2d 1047, 1050 (4th Cir. 1983). The "verdict must stand if, taking the evidence in the light most favorable to Defendant, there is 'any substantial evidence' to support it." Vodrey v. Golden, 864 F.2d 28, 30 n.4 (4th Cir. 1988). "Substantial evidence" is such evidence as a reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support the conclusion even if different conclusions also might be supported by the evidence. Gibraltar Sav. v. LDBrinkman Corp., 860 F.2d 1275, 1297 (5th Cir. 1988). Finally, in reviewing a jury verdict, we do not weigh the evidence or review witness credibility. United States v. Saunders, 886 F.2d 56, 60 (4th Cir. 1989).

The jury heard testimony from a total of thirteen witnesses over the course of four days. The jury clearly believed the testimony of the Defendants' witnesses. Because we do not weigh the credibility of witnesses, Brown cannot show that there was a complete absence of probative facts to support

the jury's verdict. Accordingly, we affirm. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED