

**UNPUBLISHED**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 14-6059**

---

FRANCIS C. MBEWE,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

UNKNOWN NAMES, (MCDRC) Montgomery County Department of Correction and Rehabilitation Mail Room Clerks; DAVID, Cpt., Head of Montgomery County Department of Correction and Rehabilitation (MCDRC) Mail Room; RICHARD DOVEY, C.O.S., Chief of Security of MCI-H; RICHARD, C.O. II, former Property Room Officer of MCI-H; WACH, Mr., Case Manager; STEVENUS, Ms., Librarian, MCI-H; MCI-H; MCDRC MAIL ROOM,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

STOUFFER, C.D.C, Head of Correction for the State of Maryland; SOWERS, Warden (former) m MCI-H; WEBB, Warden, MCI-H; SCOTT, MJ, Shift Commander, MCI-H; CLEVINGER, C.O. II, Tier Officer for B2; WILLIAMS, Lt., Unit Manager of Northside MCI-H; POWELL, Lt., former Unit Manager of Northside MCI-H; VINSON, C.O. II, Dietary Officer of MCI-H; WORGUL, C.O. II, Dietary Officer of MCI-H; HULL, Cpt., Dietary Manager of MCI-H,

Defendants.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. Alexander Williams, Jr., District Judge. (8:12-cv-03344-AW)

---

Submitted: April 17, 2014

Decided: April 22, 2014

---

Before WILKINSON, KING, and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Francis C. Mbewe, Appellant Pro Se. Silvia Carolina Kinch,  
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY, Rockville, Maryland; Siobhan  
Kelly Madison, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MARYLAND,  
Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Francis C. Mbewe appeals the district court's order dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2006) complaint against the Appellees for failing to state a claim. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Mbewe v. Unknown Names, No. 8:12-cv-03344-AW (D. Md. Dec. 3, 2013). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED