UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 16-7005

SOMCHAI NOONSAB,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

NC GOVERNMENT; W. BROWN; STEPHEN M. RUSSELL, SR.; MELANIE A. SHEKITA; MAGISTRATE E. RAY BRIGGS; PAUL G. GESSNER; MICHAEL G. HOWELL; PAUL C. RIDEWOY; DANIEL HORN, Clerk of Court; TERRI STEWART; ROY COOPER; MR. HOCKEY; CHRISTINA CAMERON ROEDEO; GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS AND MORE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS, LAWYERS AND JUDGES IN SAID CASES,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Raleigh. Louise W. Flanagan, District Judge. (5:16-ct-03122-FL)

Submitted: October 13, 2016 Decided: October 18, 2016

Before NIEMEYER, DUNCAN, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Somchai Noonsab, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

PER CURIAM:

Somchai Noonsab appeals the district court's order dismissing without prejudice* his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint as duplicative. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Noonsab v. NC Gov't, No. 5:16-ct-03122-FL (E.D.N.C. July 8, 2016). We deny Noonsab's motion for a certificate of appealability as unnecessary. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid in the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

* We conclude this is a final appealable order because Noonsab may not amend his complaint to cure the defect. See Goode v. Cent. Va. Legal Aid Soc'y, Inc., 807 F.3d 619, 629-30 (4th Cir. 2015) (holding that dismissal without prejudice is not appealable unless "the district court's grounds for dismissal clearly indicate that no amendment could cure the complaint's defects").