IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 13-41298
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit
FILED
August 20, 2014
Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

ADRIANA ELIZABETH TREVINO,

Defendant-Appellant

Cons. w/ No.13-41308

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

ADRIANA ELIZABETH DIAZ-DE TREVINO,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeals from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. 5:11-CR-693-1
USDC No. 5:13-CR-345-1

AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

No. 13-41298
c/w No. 13-41308

Before DAVIS, CLEMENT, and COSTA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Adriana Elizabeth Diaz-De Trevino appeals the sentence imposed following the revocation of her term of supervised release and appeals her conviction and sentence for illegal reentry. We granted the Government's unopposed motion to consolidate these cases on appeal.

Case No. 13-41298

We review for plain error Diaz-De Trevino's argument that the district court procedurally erred by failing to adequately explain the revocation sentence. *See Puckett v. United States*, 556 U.S. 129, 135 (2009); *United States v. Fernandez–Cusco*, 447 F.3d 382, 384 (5th Cir. 2006). Although the district court provided reasons for its sentence, little explanation is required for a within-guidelines sentence. *See United States v. Mares*, 402 F.3d 511, 519 (5th Cir. 2005). Diaz-De Trevino's attempt to show plain error is even more difficult as she challenges a revocation sentence, and we have "not yet required district courts to expressly state their reasons for selecting a revocation sentence." *United States v. Cantrell*, 236 Fed Appx. 66, 69 (5th Cir. 2007) (per curiam) (unpublished). For these reasons, Diaz-De Trevino can show no error, plain or otherwise. *See Puckett*, 566 U.S. at 135.

The judgment in No. 13-41298 is AFFIRMED.

Case No. 13-41308

Counsel appointed to represent Diaz-De Trevino on appeal in her illegal reentry case has filed a motion to withdraw and a brief in accordance with

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Diaz-De Trevino has not filed a response.

We have reviewed counsel's *Anders* brief and the relevant portions of the record. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL in No. 13-41308 IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.