United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 09-3758

Jimmie T. McGee-El,	*	
	*	
Appellant,	*	
	*	
v.	*	
	*	
Chandra Hartegan, Caseworker, NECC;	*	
Tracy Harrison, Librarian II, NECC;	*	
Debra Kelly, Caseworker, NECC; Joel	*	
Clover, Corrections Officer I, NECC;	*	
James Carter, Functional Unit	*	
Manager, NECC; Carrie Taylor,	*	Appeal from the United States
Classification Caseworker Assistant,	*	District Court for the
NECC; Kathy Clifton, Functional	*	Eastern District of Missouri.
Unit Manager, NECC; Michelle	*	
Thompson, Grievance Officer II,	*	[UNPUBLISHED]
NECC; Unknown Davis, Corrections	*	
Officer II, NECC; Unknown Lambert,	*	
Corrections Officer II, NECC; Lori	*	
Calvin, Corrections Officer III/	*	
Committee Member, NECC; Dale	*	
Lucas, Correctional Case Worker;	*	
Chantay Godert, Assistant Warden,	*	
NECC; Thomas Dunn, Deputy Warden,	*	
NECC; Jim Moore, Warden, NECC;	*	
Larry Crawford, Director, Missouri	*	
Department of Corrections,	*	
	*	
Appellees.	*	

AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

Submitted: June 18, 2010
Filed: June 24, 2010

Before WOLLMAN, COLLOTON, and GRUENDER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Missouri inmate Jimmie McGee-El (McGee) appeals the district court's[1] dismissal of his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil action. After careful de novo review, see Strand v. Diversified Collection Serv., Inc., 380 F.3d 316, 317 (8th Cir. 2004), we conclude that (1) McGee's retaliatory-discipline claims were properly dismissed because there was "some evidence" to find him guilty of violating prison rules, see Henderson v. Baird, 29 F.3d 464, 469 (8th Cir. 1994); (2) his due process challenge to the disciplinary hearing is also meritless, because McGee failed to show that he had a protected liberty interest in avoiding the resulting punishment, see Lomholt v. Holder, 287 F.3d 683, 684 (8th Cir. 2002) (per curiam); Kennedy v. Blankenship, 100 F.3d 640, 642-43 & n.2 (8th Cir. 1996); and (3) the district court was not required to rule on discovery motions before dismissing the complaint, see First Commercial Trust Co. v. Colt's Mfg. Co., 77 F.3d 1081, 1083 n.4 (8th Cir. 1996), or to provide McGee with a list of deficiencies. Finally we do not consider the claims that either have been abandoned or are first raised on appeal.

Accordingly, the judgment is affirmed. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

[1]The Honorable E. Richard Webber, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.

Appellate Case: 09-3758 Page: 2 Date Filed: 06/24/2010 Entry ID: 3678108