

**United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No. 10-2300

United States of America,

Appellee,

v.

Ronald Theodore Coleman,

Appellant.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Appeal from the United States
District Court for the
Southern District of Iowa.

[UNPUBLISHED]

Submitted: November 26, 2010

Filed: December 2, 2010

Before WOLLMAN, MELLOY, and GRUENDER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Ronald Coleman pled guilty to conspiring to manufacture and distribute at least 500 grams of methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(b)(1)(A), 846. The Government and the defense agreed that the applicable guidelines range was 262-327 months, and both parties requested a 262-month term. The district court¹ sentenced Coleman to 262 months' imprisonment and 10 years of supervised release. On appeal, Coleman's counsel has moved to withdraw and filed a brief under Anders v.

¹The Honorable John A. Jarvey, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Iowa.

California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the district court did not make an individualized assessment to determine the appropriateness of the requested sentence.

We reject this argument as it is unsupported by the sentencing transcript. We conclude that the district court committed no procedural error and imposed a substantively reasonable sentence. See Gall v. United States, 552 U.S. 38, 51 (2007) (in reviewing sentence, appellate court first ensures that district court committed no significant procedural error and then considers substantive reasonableness of sentence under abuse-of-discretion standard; if sentence is within applicable guidelines range, appellate court may apply presumption of reasonableness); United States v. Haack, 403 F.3d 997, 1004 (8th Cir. 2005) (describing abuse of discretion).

Having reviewed the record independently under Penon v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we have found no nonfrivolous issues. Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to withdraw, and we affirm.
