

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 11-3458

Juan Gerardo Rojas,

Appellant,

v.

Craig Apker, Warden,
USP, Tucson, Arizona,

Appellee.

*
*
*
* Appeal from the United States
* District Court for the
* District of South Dakota.
*
* [UNPUBLISHED]
*
*

Submitted: May 23, 2012

Filed: June 5, 2012

Before WOLLMAN, MELLOY, and SMITH, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Juan Rojas appeals from the district court's¹ order denying his petition for a writ of habeas corpus which he filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Generally, a federal inmate's exclusive remedy for collaterally attacking the constitutional validity of his sentence is through a 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion filed in the sentencing court, unless he shows the remedy afforded by section 2255 is inadequate or ineffective. See Lopez-Lopez v. Sanders, 590 F.3d 905, 906 (8th Cir. 2010). Because Rojas

¹The Honorable Charles B. Kornmann, United States District Judge for the District of South Dakota.

failed to show that section 2255 was inadequate or ineffective, the district court properly denied the petition. See Abdullah v. Hedrick, 392 F.3d 957, 964 (where petitioner failed to demonstrate that § 2255 was inadequate or ineffective remedy, district court properly dismissed § 2241 petition for lack of jurisdiction).

Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.
