

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 12-2214

Katheryne Polter

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Michael J. Astrue, Commissioner of Social Security Administration

Defendant - Appellee

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Missouri - St. Louis

Submitted: January 4, 2013

Filed: January 15, 2013

[Unpublished]

Before BYE, GRUENDER, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Katheryne Polter appeals the district court's¹ order affirming the denial of disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income. Upon de novo review,

¹The Honorable Catherine D. Perry, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.

see Renstrom v. Astrue, 680 F.3d 1057, 1063-64 (8th Cir. 2012), we find no basis for reversal. Specifically, we find no merit to Polter’s challenges to the administrative law judge’s (ALJ’s) determinations as to physical and mental residual functional capacity (RFC), see Anderson v. Astrue, 696 F.3d 790, 794 (8th Cir. 2012) (conclusory checkbox form has little evidentiary value when it provides little or no elaboration and cites no medical evidence); Renstrom, 680 F.3d at 1064 (treating physician’s opinion does not automatically control); or to the ALJ’s hypothetical to the vocational expert, see Perkins v. Astrue, 648 F.3d 892, 901-02 (8th Cir. 2011) (hypothetical must capture concrete consequences of claimant’s deficiencies); cf. Davis v. Apfel, 239 F.3d 962, 966 (8th Cir. 2001) (rejecting contention that hypothetical was flawed because it did not specify frequency of need to alternate between sitting and standing, where hypothetical addressed claimant’s need to sit and stand “at will”). The district court is affirmed.
