

1 Rosemary T. McGuire, Esq. Bar No. 172549

2 WEAKLEY, ARENDT, MCGUIRE, LLP  
3 1630 E. Shaw Avenue, Suite 176  
4 Fresno, CA 93710  
5 Telephone: (559) 221-5256  
6 Facsimile: (559) 221-5262

7 Attorneys for Defendants, CITY OF FRESNO, JERRY DYER, and ROBERT CHAVEZ

8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11	GABRIELLE RODRIGUEZ,	)	CASE NO. 1:09-CV-01176-AWI-MJS
12	Plaintiff,	)	<b>STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER AUTHORIZING LIMITED DISCLOSURE OF RECORDS CONCERNING DANNY HERNANDEZ</b>
13	vs.	)	
14	CITY OF FRESNO, JERRY DYER, ROBERT CHAVEZ and DOES 1 to 20, 15 inclusive,	)	
16	Defendants.	)	
17		)	Complaint Filed: 07/16/09 Trial Date: March 29, 2011

18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED between the parties, through their respective counsel, and  
19 Danny Hernandez, through his attorney Michael R. Bracamontes, and ordered by this Court, that  
20 the following documents will be disclosed pursuant to this stipulation and protective order:

21 **1. Reports related to the criminal history of Danny Hernandez.**

22 The above-named documents which are maintained by or in the possession of the Fresno  
23 City Police Department, may be disclosed to counsel for the parties pursuant to the protective  
24 order detailed below. The above documents contain information which is deemed confidential.  
25 The release of these documents pursuant to this Stipulation and Protective Order does not waive  
26 the confidentiality privilege protecting the above-named documents from general disclosure.

27 ///

1 ///

2 Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED:

3 1. The “Confidential” documents shall be used solely in connection with this  
4 litigation in the preparation and trial of this case, or any related proceeding, and not for any other  
5 purpose or in any other litigation. The party producing the documents described above may  
6 designate them by affixing a mark labeling the documents as “Confidential - Subject to Protective  
7 Order” (with the exception of photographs) provided that such marking does not obscure or  
8 obliterate the content of any document. In the event an issue arises regarding a document’s  
9 designation, the parties will attempt to resolve it informally before seeking the Court’s  
10 intervention.

11 2. The documents identified in this protective order may be disclosed only to the  
12 following persons:

- 13 a) the counsel for any party to this action;
- 14 b) paralegal, stenographic, clerical, and secretarial personnel regularly employed  
15 by counsel referred to in (a);
- 16 c) court personnel including stenographic reporters engaged in proceedings as are  
17 necessarily incidental to preparation for the trial of this action;
- 18 d) any outside expert or consultant retained in connection with this action and not  
19 otherwise employed by either party;
- 20 e) any in-house expert designated by defendants to testify at trial in this matter;
- 21 f) witnesses may have the information disclosed to them during deposition  
22 proceedings; the witnesses shall be bound by the provisions of paragraph 3;
- 23 g) Danny Hernandez may have the information disclosed to him; he shall be  
24 bound by the provisions of paragraph 3;
- 25 h) the finder of fact at the time of trial subject to the court’s rulings on in limine  
26 motions and objections of counsel;

27 3. Each person to whom the “confidential” documents or any portion thereof is  
28 provided, with the exception of counsel who are presumed to know of the contents of this

1 protective order shall, prior to the time of disclosure, be provided by the person furnishing  
2 him/her such information, a copy of this order, and shall agree on the record or in writing that  
3 he/she has read the protective order and that he/she understands the provisions of the protective  
4 order. Such person must also consent to be subject to the jurisdiction of the United States District  
5 Court for the Eastern District of California with respect to any proceeding related to enforcement  
6 of this order, including without limitation, any proceeding for contempt. Plaintiff's counsel shall  
7 be responsible for internally tracking the identities of those individuals to whom copies of  
8 documents marked "Confidential" are given. The defendants may not request the identities of  
9 said individuals, however, until the final termination of the litigation or if defendants, in good  
10 faith, are able to demonstrate that Plaintiff, or an agent thereof, has breached the Stipulated  
11 Protective Order. Provisions of this order insofar as they restrict disclosure and use of the  
12 material shall be in effect until further order of this Court. Should the case proceed to trial, the  
13 designation and treatment of the confidential information will be revisited. This stipulation and  
14 protective order shall not be used as a basis for excluding any evidence at the trial of this matter.

15 4. Confidential information and/or documents that a party intends to use in support of  
16 or in opposition to a pre-trial filing with the Court must be filed in accordance with the Eastern  
17 District of California Local Rules relating to under seal filings. Any document filed with the  
18 Court that includes confidential information shall be submitted under sealed label with a cover  
19 sheet as follows: "This document is subject to a protective order issued by the Court and may not  
20 be copied or examined except in compliance with that order." Such document shall be kept by the  
21 Court under seal and made available only to the Court or counsel.

22 5. Should any document designated confidential be disclosed, through inadvertence  
23 or otherwise, to any person not authorized to receive it under this Protective Order, the disclosing  
24 person(s) shall promptly (a) inform the City of Fresno of the recipient(s) and the circumstances of  
25 the unauthorized disclosure to the relevant producing person(s) and (b) use best efforts to bind the  
26 recipient(s) to the terms of this Protective Order. No information shall lose its confidential status  
27 because it was disclosed to a person not authorized to receive it under this Protective Order.

28 6. After the conclusion of this litigation, the documents, in whatever form stored or

1 reproduced, containing “confidential” information will remain confidential, and if filed with the  
2 Court, shall remain under seal. All parties also ensure that all persons to whom “confidential”  
3 documents were disclosed shall return the documents to counsel for the producing party. The  
4 conclusion of this litigation means termination of the case following applicable post-trial  
5 motions, appeal and/or retrial. After the conclusion of this litigation, all confidential documents  
6 received under the provisions of this Protective Order, including all copies made, shall be  
7 tendered back to the attorneys for the defendants in a manner in which the City of Fresno will be  
8 able to reasonably identify that all documents were returned.

9  
10 **IT IS SO STIPULATED:**

11  
12 DATED: October 18, 2010

WEAKLEY, ARENDT & McGUIRE, LLP

13  
14 By: /s/ Rosemary T. McGuire  
Rosemary T. McGuire  
Attorney for Defendants

15  
16 DATED: October 18, 2010

BRACAMONTES & VLASAK, P.C.

17  
18 By: /s/ Michael R. Bracamontes  
Michael R. Bracamontes  
Attorney for Plaintiff

19  
20  
21 ORDER

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: October 20, 2010

/s/ Michael J. Seng  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE