## Case 1:10-cr-00213-OWW Document 28 Filed 05/09/11 Page 1 of 3

BENJAMIN B. WAGNER 1 United States Attorney DAVID L. GAPPA Assistant U.S. Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, California 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 4 5 Attorneys for the United States of America 6 7 8 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) 1:10-cr-00213 OWW 12 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND 13 v. PROPOSED ORDER TO ADVANCE SENTENCING DATE 14 BRIAN ROBERT GARRETT, 15 DATE: May 16, 2011 TIME: 1:30 p.m. 16 Defendant. PLACE: Courtroom Three HON: Oliver W. Wanger 17 18 this case by one week from May 23, 2011, to May 16, 2011. 19 reason for the request is primarily government counsel's 20

The parties have agreed to advance the sentencing hearing in this case by one week from May 23, 2011, to May 16, 2011. The reason for the request is primarily government counsel's inability to be present on the currently-scheduled date of May 23, 2011. Government counsel has had two other sentencings advanced to May 16, 2011 (by agreement of court and counsel), and will be able to return from his temporary assignment in Washington, D.C. to cover those sentencings (in conjunction with other business away from Fresno related to the temporary assignment). It is not feasible to return to Fresno again on May 23, 2011. The requested new date is still ten weeks from the

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## Case 1:10-cr-00213-OWW Document 28 Filed 05/09/11 Page 2 of 3

change of plea, and that is the customary time frame between conviction and sentencing.

This case has an extended history with complicated negotiations, and it does not lend itself to transfer to a new prosecutor. For instance, the defendant has agreed to pay a substantial amount of restitution, and there are details related to receipt and designation of that payment, before and after the sentencing, that are best done by undersigned counsel. On the other hand, the parties – through their extensive negotiations – have fashioned what they believe to be an overall reasonble sentence, so they believe that the sentencing hearing, if the court accepts the recommendations of the parties, can be accomplished with relatively little impact on court resources. Government counsel was told that the court's calendar is overbooked for the requested date, but it appears that only five items are currently on the 1:30 p.m. calendar. It is requested that this case be added to that calendar.

Dated: May 8, 2011 Respectfully submitted,

BENJAMIN B. WAGNER
United States Attorney

/s/ David Gappa

By: DAVID L. GAPPA

Assistant U.S. Attorney

/s/ Joel Koury

JOEL KOURY

Counsel for Brian Robert Garrett

## Case 1:10-cr-00213-OWW Document 28 Filed 05/09/11 Page 3 of 3 1 2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 3 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CR-F-10-00213 OWW 7 Plaintiff, 8 ORDER ON STIPULATION TO ADVANCE SENTENCING DATE V. 9 10 BRIAN ROBERT GARRETT, DATE: May 16, 2011 TIME: 1:30 p.m. PLACE: Courtroom Three 11 Defendant. HON: Oliver W. Wanger 12 13 14 **ORDER** 15 For the reasons outlined in the stipulation of the parties 16 filed on May 8, 2011, the sentencing is advanced to May 16, 2011, 17 at 1:30 p.m. 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 20 **Dated:** May 9, 2011 /s/ Oliver W. Wanger UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28