Case 1:11-cr-00213-AWI-BAM Document 52 Filed 05/08/13 Page 1 of 2

```
BENJAMIN B. WAGNER
 1
    United States Attorney
    KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ
 2
    Assistant U.S. Attorney
    2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401
 3
    Fresno, CA 93721
    Telephone: (559) 497-4000
 4
 5
 6
 7
                      IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 8
 9
                     FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                            CASE NO. 1:11-CR-00213 AWI
11
12
                       Plaintiff,
                                            STIPULATION AND
                                        )
                                            ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF BRIEFING
13
                                            DEADLINES AND CONTINUANCE OF
     V.
                                            HEARING
     BRANDON GREENE,
14
15
                       Defendant.
16
```

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Benjamin B. Wagner, United States Attorney and Kimberly A. Sanchez, Assistant U.S. Attorney and Dale Blickenstaff, attorney for Brandon Greene, that the due date for the government's response to defendant's motion to dismiss counts 1-10 of the indictment be extended from May 3, 2013 to May 10, 2013; that the due date for the defendant's reply to the government's responses to the defendant's motions in limine be set for May 24, 2013; and that the hearing on the motions be continued from May 20, 2013 to June 3, 2013 at 1:30 p.m. The pending motion schedule did not have a date set for defense replies, and the defense needs additional time to prepare its response. The government filed its responses to all defense motions, except for the motion to

Case 1:11-cr-00213-AWI-BAM Document 52 Filed 05/08/13 Page 2 of 2

dismiss counts 1-10 of the indictment, which was inadvertently 1 2 missed, and the government would also appreciate the additional time. The parties further request the Court to enter an Order finding 3 that the "ends of justice" served by a continuance outweigh the 4 5 interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, and that the delay occasioned by such continuance is excluded from the Act's 6 7 time limits pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). In determining whether such a continuance is warranted, the judge must consider, 8 9 among other things, "[w]hether the failure to grant such a continuance in the proceeding would be likely to make a continuation 10 of such proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of 11 justice." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(I). 12 13 Dated: May 7, 2013 Respectfully submitted, 14 BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney 15 16 Ву /s/ Kimberly A. Sanchez KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ Assistant U.S. Attorney 17 18 Dated: May 7, 2013 /s/ Dale Blickenstaff DALE BLICKENSTAFF 19 Attorney for Brandon Greene 20 21 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. Ath hlii 24 Dated: May 8, 2013 2.5 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE 26

27

28