

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
3  
4

5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

6 Plaintiff,

7 vs.

8 DAVID J. EDWARDS, ET AL.,

9 Defendant.  
10

CASE NO. 1:17-cv-01105-AWI-SKO

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY  
REMAINDER OF ACTION SHOULD  
NOT BE DISMISSED WITH  
PREJUDICE**

11  
12 On March 5, 2020, the Court issued a stipulated order dismissing the Sixth Claim for  
13 Relief in this action. Doc. No. 65. There has been no docketed activity in this case in the three  
14 years since.

15 Plaintiff United States of America is, therefore, ORDERED to show in writing, **within 10**  
16 **calendar days of the date of electronic service of this order**, why the remainder of this action  
17 should not be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute.

18 If the United States fails to make that showing, or otherwise provide a meaningful response  
19 to this order within the period of time specified above, the remainder of this action will be  
20 DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE and this action will be CLOSED without further notice to the  
21 parties. See Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1423-24 (9th Cir. 1986) (affirming dismissal  
22 with prejudice for lack of prosecution).  
23

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: March 6, 2023

  
26 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE  
27  
28