UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Kennard Davis, Plaintiff, v. James Walker, et al., Defendants.	No. 2:08-cv-0593-KJM-DB
Kennard Davis, Plaintiff, v. James Walker, et al., Defendants.	No. 2:10-cv-2139-KJM-DB ORDER

Plaintiff Kennard Davis objects to the magistrate judge's recent order, which was filed in both of the cases captioned above. *See* Objections, Case No. 08-593, ECF No. 287; Objections, Case No. 10-2139, ECF No. 364. The court construes these objections as untimely requests for reconsideration under Local Rule 303(c) and extends the deadline for reconsideration on its own motion.

AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

When a litigant asks a district judge to review a magistrate judge's orders under Local Rule 303, the district judge must decide whether the magistrate judge's order was "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." E.D. Cal. L.R. 303(f); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a). The magistrate judge's order is not clearly in error, and it is not contrary to law. **Plaintiff's objections, construed as motions to reconsider, are denied.** This order resolves ECF No. 287 in Case No. 08-593 and ECF No. 364 in Case No. 10-2139.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 24, 2023.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE