

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

<hr/>)	
GREGORY LAMONT LONG,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 08-0604 (ESH)
)	
MICHAEL MUKASEY, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Respondents.)	
<hr/>)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Petitioner raises, inter alia, various jurisdictional challenges to his conviction in the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma and alleges that his counsel was ineffective for failing to raise the jurisdictional issues. After reviewing the petition, the Court concludes that the claims presented in the petition may be raised only in a motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. *See Taylor v. United States Bd. of Parole*, 194 F.2d 882, 883 (D.C. Cir. 1952) (holding that challenge to validity of petitioner’s place of detention by attacking the constitutionality of the statute under which he was convicted and sentenced must be made under § 2255); *Pradeski v. Hawk-Sawyer*, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1, 2 (D.D.C. 1999) (federal prisoner who makes a collateral challenge to his conviction or sentence must file a motion pursuant to § 2255). Such a motion must be made in the sentencing court. *Moore v. Smith*, No. 06-5078, 2006 WL 2036816, at *1 (D.C. Cir. July 6, 2006) (affirming district court’s dismissal of habeas petition without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction where petitioner mounted collateral

