

and the plaintiffs' depositions. Therefore, plaintiffs ask the court to reconsider the order granting an extension of time to defendant. Plaintiffs aver that they will be prejudiced by any extension of the time allowed to defendant. The court finds plaintiffs' argument without merit.

In its original order directing the parties to mediation, the court ordered the parties to complete discovery, including the depositions of plaintiffs and representatives of defendant by August 8, 2014, and to mediate the case by September 8, 2014. It appears to the court that plaintiffs are responsible for the parties' delay in complying with the court's order, and the court finds that defendant's request for a short extension of time (90 days) is reasonable under the circumstances. Accordingly, plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration [R. 48] is not well-taken and is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Enter:


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE