

receive no adverse comments in response to that direct final rule we plan to take no further activity in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives significant adverse comments, in writing, which have not been addressed, we will withdraw the direct final rule and address all public comments received in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The USEPA will not institute a second comment period on this document.

**DATES:** We must receive comments by August 11, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch, (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604.

You may inspect copies of the documents relevant to this action during normal business hours at the following location: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch, (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604.

Please contact Patricia Morris at (312) 353-8656 before visiting the Region 5 office.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patricia Morris, Environmental Scientist, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch, (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604, (312) 353-8656.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section is organized as follows:

What action is USEPA taking today?

Where can I find more information about this proposal and the corresponding direct final rule?

#### **What Action Is USEPA Taking Today?**

In this action, we are proposing to approve a revision to the ozone maintenance plan for Dayton/Springfield, Ohio. The revision will change the mobile source emission budget that is used for transportation conformity purposes. The revision will keep the total emissions for the area at or below the attainment level required by law. This action will allow State or local agencies to maintain air quality while providing for transportation growth. We are also correcting a typographical error in the original maintenance plan approval. The original **Federal Register** approval on May 5, 1995, (60 FR 22289) contained a typographical error in Table 1 showing the VOC emissions from the source

categories in the Dayton/Springfield area. The 2005 VOC emissions for point and area sources are incorrect in Table 1. The correct number for point source emissions in 2005 should be 98.0 and the correct number for area sources in 2005 should be 63.8 tons of VOC. These corrected numbers match the original submittal from the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) and are documented in the docket materials. This correction does not change the substance of the maintenance plan approval.

#### **Where Can I Find More Information About This Proposal and the Corresponding Direct Final Rule?**

For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 29, 1999.

**David A. Ullrich,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.*  
[FR Doc. 99-17492 Filed 7-9-99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-M**

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

### **40 CFR Parts 52 and 81**

[TN-217-1-9920b; FRL-6373-8]

#### **Implementation Plan and Redesignation Request for the Williamson County, Tennessee Lead Nonattainment Area**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to simultaneously approve the lead state implementation plan (SIP) and redesignation request for the Williamson County, Tennessee lead nonattainment area. Both plans, dated May 12, 1999, were submitted by the State of Tennessee for the purpose of demonstrating that the Williamson County area has attained the lead National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the Tennessee's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to the direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct

final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** To be considered, comments must be received by August 11, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to Kimberly Bingham, at the EPA Regional Office listed below. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day. Copies of the documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations.

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Atlanta Federal Center, Air, Pesticides, and Toxics Management Division, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, Atlanta, Tennessee 30303-3104.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kimberly Bingham of the EPA Region 4, Air Planning Branch at (404) 562-9038 and at the above address.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 17, 1999.

**Winston A. Smith,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.*  
[FR Doc. 99-17339 Filed 7-9-99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### **Fish and Wildlife Service**

#### **50 CFR Part 17**

**RIN 1018-AF56**

#### **Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Reopening of Comment Period on the Proposed Rule To List the Alabama Sturgeon as Endangered**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; notice of reopening of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** We, the Fish and Wildlife Service, give notice that we are

reopening the comment period on the proposed rule to list the Alabama sturgeon (*Scaphirhynchus suttkusi*) as endangered. We invite all interested parties to submit comments on this proposal.

**DATES:** We will accept comments until September 10, 1999. We will consider any comments received by the closing date in the final decision on this proposal.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit written comments and materials concerning the proposal to the Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 6578 Dogwood View Parkway, Jackson, Mississippi 39213. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the above address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Hartfield (see **ADDRESSES** section), 601/965-4900, extension 25; facsimile 601/965-4340.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The Alabama sturgeon is a small freshwater sturgeon that was historically found only in the Mobile River Basin of Alabama and Mississippi. The Alabama sturgeon's historic range once included about 1,600 kilometers (km) (1,000 miles (mi)) of the Mobile River system in Alabama (Black Warrior, Tombigbee,

Alabama, Coosa, Tallapoosa, Mobile, Tensaw, and Cahaba rivers) and Mississippi (Tombigbee River). Since 1985, all confirmed captures of this fish have been from a short, free-flowing reach of the Alabama River below Miller's Ferry and Claiborne locks and dams in Clarke, Monroe, and Wilcox counties, Alabama. The historic decline of the Alabama sturgeon is attributed to over-fishing, loss and fragmentation of habitat as a result of navigation-related development, and water quality degradation. Current threats primarily result from its small population numbers and its inability to offset mortality rates with reproduction and recruitment.

On March 26, 1999, we published a rule proposing endangered status for the Alabama sturgeon in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 14676). Section 4(b)(5)(E) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) requires that we hold a public hearing if it is requested within 45 days of the publication of the proposed rule. Sheldon Morgan, Chairman, Alabama-Tombigbee Rivers Coalition, requested a public hearing within the allotted time period. On May 25, 1999, we published a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing a public hearing and extending the comment period until July 5, 1999 (64 FR 28142). We held a public hearing on June 24, 1999, at the Montgomery Civic Center in Montgomery, Alabama.

**Public Comments Solicited**

We intend that any final action resulting from this proposal will be as accurate and as effective as possible. Therefore, we request comments or suggestions from the public, other concerned governmental agencies, the scientific community, industry, or any other interested party concerning this proposed rule. In making a final decision, we will take into consideration the comments and any additional information we receive, and such communications may lead to a final determination that differs from this proposal.

The previous comment period on this proposal closed on July 5, 1999. To allow all interested parties the maximum time to submit their comments for the record, we are reopening the comment period until September 10, 1999.

**Author**

The primary author of this notice is Paul Hartfield (see **ADDRESSES** section).

**Authority:** The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Dated: July 6, 1999.

**H. Dale Hall,**

*Acting Regional Director, Fish and Wildlife Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-17557 Filed 7-9-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P